

EQUIVARIANT MOTIVIC INTEGRATION ON FORMAL SCHEMES AND THE MOTIVIC ZETA FUNCTION

ANNABELLE HARTMANN

ABSTRACT. For a formal scheme over a complete discrete valuation ring with a good action of a finite group, we define equivariant motivic integration, and we prove a change of variable formula for that. To do so, we construct and examine an induced group action on the Greenberg scheme of such a formal scheme. Using this equivariant motivic integration, we define an equivariant volume Poincaré series, from which we deduce Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function including the action of the profinite group of roots of unity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic zero with residue field k , and let X_∞ be a *sftf* formal R -scheme, i.e. a separated formal scheme which is topologically of finite type over R . Let m be the relative dimension of X_∞ over R , and denote by X_0 its special fiber and by X_η its generic fiber in the category of rigid varieties, which we assume to be smooth. Let ω be a gauge form on X_η , i.e. a global section of $\Omega_{X_\eta}^m$. Under these assumptions the volume Poincaré series $S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ of the pair (X_∞, ω) was defined in [NS07b, Definition 7.2] by

$$S(X, \omega; T) := \sum_{d \geq 0} \left(\int_{X_\infty(d)} |\omega(d)| \right) T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]].$$

Here $X_\infty(d) := X_\infty \times_R R(d)$, where $R(d)$ is a totally ramified extension of R of degree d , $\omega(d)$ is the pullback of ω to $X_\infty(d)$, and

$$\int_{X_\infty(d)} |\omega(d)| \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}$$

is the motivic integral of the gauge form $\omega(d)$ on $X_\infty(d)$, which was defined in [LS03, Theorem-Definition 4.1.2]. It takes values in the localization $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}$ with respect to the class $\mathbb{L}$ of the affine line of the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\text{Var}_{X_0})$ of varieties over X_0 . This ring is as group generated by classes $[V]$ of separated schemes V of finite type over X_0 , and whenever V is a closed subscheme of W , we ask $[W]$ to be equal to the sum of $[V]$ and $[W \setminus V]$; the product is the fiber product over X_0 .

Assume now that X is a smooth irreducible algebraic variety of dimension $m+1$ over k , let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a non-constant map, and assume that X_∞ is actually the completion of X along $X_0 := f^{-1}(0)$. Then using an explicit formula of the volume Poincaré series by means of an embedded resolution, it was shown in [NS07b, Theorem 9.10] that

$$(1) \quad S(X_\infty, \frac{\omega}{df}; T) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} Z(f, \mathbb{L}T) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]].$$

Here $Z(f, T)$ is Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function, see [DL01]. It is given by

$$Z(f; T) := \sum_{d \geq 0} [\mathcal{X}_{d,1}] \mathbb{L}^{(m+1)d} T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]],$$

where $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ is the subscheme of the d -th jet scheme of X whose k -points are given by

$$\{\psi : \operatorname{Spec}(k[[t]]/(t^{d+1})) \rightarrow X \mid f(\psi(t)) = t^d \bmod t^{d+1}\}.$$

The motivic zeta function serves as a universal zeta function, because it specializes to both the (twisted) topological zeta function and to Igusa's p -adic zeta function (with characters) for almost all p , see [DL98, Section 2.3 and 2.4]. For all these zeta functions we can formulate a monodromy conjecture connecting the poles of the zeta function with the eigenvalues of the monodromy action on the Milnor fiber of f . There is some evidence that these conjectures hold, but in general they are still open. For more information on the different zeta functions and monodromy conjectures we refer to [Nic10]. Apart from the connection with the other zeta function, the motivic zeta function also provides fine invariants of hypersurface singularities, see for example [DL01, Section 4.4].

Now observe that $\hat{\mu}$, the profinite group of roots of unity, acts, assuming that k contains all roots of unity, on $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ by multiplication with a primitive d -th root of unity. Hence in fact we have

$$Z(f, T) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]],$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$ is the localization with respect to the class $\mathbb{L}$ of the affine line of the $\hat{\mu}$ -equivariant Grothendieck ring $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\operatorname{Var}_{X_0})$ over X_0 , the profinite limit of the μ_d -equivariant Grothendieck rings $K_0^{\mu_d}(\operatorname{Var}_{X_0})$. Those rings are generated by classes $[V]$ of X_0 -varieties V with a good action of the group of d -th roots of unity μ_d . Here an action on V is called good if every orbit of the action lies in an affine subscheme of V . We ask that $[V] + [W \setminus V] = [W]$ whenever $V \hookrightarrow W$ is a μ_d -equivariant closed immersion, and that the class of an affine bundles with affine μ_d -action only depends on its rank and base. The product is given by the fiber product with induced μ_d -action.

This means that using the volume Poincaré series, we do not recover the motivic zeta function completely, but we lose the information of this group action, which one needs in fact for the specialization to the topological and p -adic zeta function. Moreover, this $\hat{\mu}$ -action is closely related to monodromy, which is in particular very important with respect to the monodromy conjecture, see [Nic10, Section 5.4].

The content of this paper is the construction of an equivariant version of the motivic Poincaré series with values in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]]$ instead of $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]]$. We also show that with this construction we can recover the motivic zeta function including the $\hat{\mu}$ -action, i.e. that Equation (1) actually holds in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]]$.

In the first part of the paper, up to Section 4, we establish a theory of motivic integration of formal schemes taking values in an equivariant Grothendieck ring. To do so, we fix a smooth *sftf* formal scheme X_∞ of relative dimension m over a complete discrete valuation ring R with perfect residue field k , and a finite group G with a good action on X_∞ , which is compatible with a nice G -action on R , i.e. an action on R with trivial induced action on k .

For motivic integration on formal schemes, one measures subsets A of the Greenberg scheme $\operatorname{Gr}(X_\infty)$ of X_∞ , which replaces the arc space in the world of formal schemes, see Section 3.1. As the arc space comes along with n -th jet schemes, there are n -th Greenberg scheme $\operatorname{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, together with truncation maps $\theta_n : \operatorname{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ and $\theta_m^n : \operatorname{Gr}_n(X_\infty) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_m(X_\infty)$ for $n \geq m$. As $\operatorname{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is not of finite type, one uses the finite type schemes $\operatorname{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ to define measures in the Grothendieck ring.

To get elements in an equivariant Grothendieck ring, we need to deduce from the G -action on X_∞ a good G -action on $\operatorname{Gr}(X_\infty)$ and $\operatorname{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ such that the truncation maps are G -invariant, which we do in Section 3.2. In particular we ask

the action on $\mathrm{Gr}_0(X_\infty) = X_0$ to agree with the action induced by the given G -action on X_∞ . Analogously to [LS03, Proposition-Definition 3.6.1], we then define a G -stable cylinder A of degree n to be the inverse image of a G -invariant constructible subscheme C of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, and we set its measure to be

$$\mu^G(A) := [C]\mathbb{L}^{-nm} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G,$$

see Definition 4.8 and Definition 4.10. As a G -stable cylinder A of degree n is also a G -stable cylinder of degree m for $m \geq n$, we ask in addition that for $m \geq n$ the truncation map $\theta_{m+1}^m : \theta_{m+1}(A) \rightarrow \theta_m(A)$ is piecewisely a G -equivariant affine bundle of rank m with affine G -action, which implies using the second relation in the equivariant Grothendieck ring that the measure of A is well defined. We show that this assumption is automatic in the case that X_∞ is smooth: already in the non-equivariant case, it was shown that $\mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)$ is an affine bundle of rank m over $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. We can show in addition that the action on this affine bundle is affine over the action on the base, see Proposition 3.12. To do so, we use a description of $\mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)$ in terms of derivations over elements in $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, and an explicit G -action on these derivations.

Similarly to the non-equivariant case, we call a function $\alpha : \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with finite image naively G -integrable if all fibers are G -stable cylinders, and set

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu^G(\alpha^{-1}(i)) \mathbb{L}^{-i} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

To be able to compute such an integral, we need in particular a way to change variables. Hence assume that we have another smooth formal R -scheme Y_∞ with the same properties as X_∞ , and a G -equivariant R -morphism $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ such that the map $Y_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ on the generic fibers is an open immersion, and $Y_\eta(K') \rightarrow X_\eta(K')$ is a bijection for all unramified extensions K' of K , where K denotes the fraction field of R . For this setup, we can show the following theorem:

Theorem (Change of variables formula, Theorem 4.18). *Assume that G is abelian and acts tamely on R , i.e. $|G|$ is prime to the characteristic of the residue field k of R , and that R has equal characteristic and k contains all roots of unity. Then*

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G = \int_{Y_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-(\alpha \circ h + \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Jac}_h))} d\mu_{X_0}^G \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

Here $\mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Jac}_h)$ is the order of the Jacobian, which measures the relative sheaf of differentials of h , see Definition 3.14. This theorem also holds in the non-equivariant case, see [Seb04, Théorème 7.3.3].

To show the change of variables formula, we need to compare $\mathrm{Gr}_n(Y_\infty)$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ in the equivariant Grothendieck ring. Note that h induces a map $\mathrm{Gr}_n(h)$ between these two rings, which we study in Section 3.4. We can show that if n is big enough, the reduced subscheme of the inverse image under $\mathrm{Gr}_n(h)$ of every point x_n in $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ is an G_x -equivariant affine bundle of rank depending on the order of the Jacobian with affine G_x -action, where G_x denotes the stabilizer of x_n , see Proposition 3.16. Using some spreading out argument in Lemma 4.7, we can compute from this $\mathrm{Gr}_n(Y_\infty)$ in terms of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G$, which implies the change of variables formula.

Note that while we can define G -integrable functions and describe the truncation map for general R and G , we can prove Proposition 3.16 and hence the change of variables formula only in the case that R has equal characteristic and G is abelian and acts tamely on R . This is in particular due to the fact that we use a concrete description of the action on R , which we do not get in the non-abelian or wild case. Moreover, in the case of mixed characteristic, one gets problems with non-separable extensions already in the non-equivariant case, see [NS11a, Section 2.4].

Based on the developed theory of equivariant motivic integration, we generalize in the second part of this paper the definitions of the integral of a gauge form and the volume Poincaré series, from which we finally deduce Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function including the $\hat{\mu}$ -action.

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic with residue field k containing all roots of unity and a nice tame action of a finite abelian group G . Let X_∞ be a *sftf* formal R -scheme with a good G -action compatible with the G -action on R , generically smooth but not necessarily smooth, with a gauge form ω on its generic fiber X_η . As in the non-equivariant case, we associate a function $\text{ord}(\omega) : \text{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to this gauge form, see Definition 6.1.

In order to integrate $\text{ord}(\omega)$, we need a smooth scheme to integrate over. Here we use, as in the non-equivariant case, a weak Néron model U_∞ of X_η , i.e. U_∞ is a smooth formal *sftf* scheme, whose generic fiber is an open rigid subspace of the generic fiber of X_∞ , and the induced map $U_\infty(R') \rightarrow X_\infty(K')$ is a bijection for every unramified extension R' of R with quotient field K' , see Definition 5.1. More precisely, we show in Theorem 5.4 that, under our assumptions, there exists always a G -equivariant Néron smoothening $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ of X_∞ , meaning that U_∞ is a weak Néron model of X_η with an action of G , and there is a G -equivariant isomorphism $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ inducing an isomorphism on the generic fibers, such that f factors through an open G -equivariant immersion $U_\infty \hookrightarrow X'_\infty$. Using such a smoothening $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$, we define in Section 6.2

$$\int_{X_\infty} |\omega| := \int_{U_\infty} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-\text{ord}(f^*\omega)} d\mu_{X_0} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

As a weak Néron smoothening is not unique, we need to show that this is well defined, for which we use the change of variables formula, Theorem 4.18.

Using a G -equivariant Néron smoothening of X_∞ , we also define the equivariant motivic Serre invariant of X_∞ to be the class of the special fiber of such a weak Néron model in $K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)$, see Section 6.3. This generalizes the Serre invariant, see [NS07a, Definition 6.2], which is closely connected to the existence of rational points. Some concrete applications of the motivic Serre invariant can be found for example in [EN11].

Now we can look at a *sftf* formal R -scheme X_∞ , which is generically smooth. We now assume that R has equal characteristic zero. Note that μ_d , the group of d -th roots of unity, acts on $R(d)$ and hence on $X_\infty(d)$. Let $\hat{\mu}$ be again the profinite limit of the μ_d , hence we can define the equivariant volume Poincaré series by

$$S(X, \omega; T) := \sum_{d > 0} \left(\int_{X_\infty(d)} |\omega(d)| \right) T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]],$$

see Definition 6.7. Similarly, one can define the equivariant Serre Poincaré series by summing over the equivariant Serre invariants of the $X_\infty(d)$.

To compute these series, we need a concrete μ_d -equivariant Néron smoothening of $U_\infty(d) \rightarrow X_\infty(d)$ for all d . The induced action on the special fiber of $U_\infty(d)$ agrees then with the action on $\text{Gr}_0(U_\infty(d))$, and can be used to compute the corresponding integral. To get the desired smoothening we fix an embedded resolution of singularities $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$, i.e. a morphism of *sftf* formal schemes inducing an isomorphism on the generic fibers, such that X'_∞ is regular, and its special fiber is a simple normal crossing divisor $\sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$. Let $\widetilde{X'_\infty}(d)$ be the normalization of $X_\infty \times_R R(d)$ with induced μ_d -action. In Theorem 5.10 we show that the induced map $U_\infty(d) := \text{Sm}(\widetilde{X'_\infty}(d)) \rightarrow X_\infty(d)$ is a μ_d -equivariant Néron smoothening if d is not X'_0 -linear, see Definition 5.9. Using this Néron smoothening, some local

computations in Theorem 5.10, and Lemma 5.11 to get rid of the X'_0 -linearity, we can show the following formula, which was shown in [NS07b] without $\hat{\mu}$ -action:

Theorem (Theorem 6.10).

$$S(X_\infty, \omega; T) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\mu_i} T^{N_i}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\mu_i} T^{N_i}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Here we use the following notation: for any subset $J \subset I$, $E_J^o := \bigcap_{j \in J} E_j \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} E_i$, and $m_J := \gcd\{N_i \mid i \in J\}$. For each non-empty subset $J \subset I$, we can cover $E_J^o \subset X_\infty$ by finitely many affine open formal subschemes $U_\infty = \mathrm{Spf}(V)$ of X_∞ , such that on U_∞ , $t = u \prod_{i \in J} x_i^{N_i}$, with t a uniformizing parameter of R and u a unit in V , and the x_i are local coordinates. The restrictions over E_J^o of the étale covers $U'_\infty := \mathrm{Spf}(V\{T\}/(uT^{m_J} - 1))$ of U_∞ glue together to an étale cover $\tilde{E}_J^o$ of E_J^o , on which $\hat{\mu}$ acts by multiplying T with a m_J -th root of unity on every chart.

Using a similar formula for Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function $Z(f; T)$, we can deduce from this formula the following theorem:

Theorem (Theorem 7.4). *Let X be a smooth irreducible variety of dimension $m+1$ over a field k containing all roots of unity, let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a dominant morphism, and let X_∞ be the completion of X along the special fiber $X_0 := f^{-1}(0)$. Assume that there exists a global gauge form ω on the generic fiber of X_∞ . Then*

$$S(X_\infty, \frac{\omega}{df}; T) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} Z(f; \mathbb{L}T) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Hence we finally recover the motivic zeta function with $\hat{\mu}$ -action from the equivariant volume Poincaré series. This implies in particular that if we want to show something about the motivic zeta function, for example the motivic monodromy conjecture, we can also prove it for the equivariant volume Poincaré series.

Without $\hat{\mu}$ -action, the corresponding monodromy conjecture for the volume Poincaré series was proven in the case of Abelian varieties, see [HN11, Theorem 8.5]. As already remarked in [HN11, Section 2.5], the non-equivariant version of the conjecture does not imply Denef and Loeser's conjecture completely, because one still misses the $\hat{\mu}$ -action. Hence it would be very nice to generalize their proof to the equivariant volume Poincaré series.

Finally, in Section 7.4, we can also recover from the equivariant volume Poincaré series the motivic nearby cycles $\mathcal{S}_f$, which are defined by formally taking the limit of $-Z(f, T)$ for T to ∞ . This invariant was defined in [DL01] and investigated further for example in [Bit05]. Here we do not need to assume the existence of a global gauge form on X_∞ , see Definition 7.6. In fact we can also define an equivariant motivic volume $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}$ for all formal $k[[t]]$ -schemes X_∞ , which agrees with $\mathcal{S}_f$ in the case that X_∞ comes from a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$. Using Theorem 4.18 we get a formula for $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}$ in terms of an embedded resolution of X_∞ , from which, together with a result from [Har15b] on the existence of a quotient map on the equivariant Grothendieck ring of varieties, the following corollary follows:

Corollary (Corollary 7.8). *Let X_∞ be a sftf formal scheme of relative dimension m over R with smooth generic fiber. Then the class of X'_0 modulo $\mathbb{L}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}$ does not depend on the choice of an embedded resolution $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$.*

Acknowledgments. During the research for this article, I was supported by a research fellowship of the *DFG* (Aktenzeichen HA 7122/1-1). I would like to thank Alberto Bellardini and in particular Emmanuel Bultot for discussing many technical problems with me. Moreover, I am thankful to Johannes Nicaise for his discussions, ideas and suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Complete discrete valuation rings. Throughout this article, R always denotes a complete discrete valuation ring, with residue field k , and quotient field K . In order to avoid problems in positive characteristics, we assume that k is always perfect. We fix a uniformizing parameter t , i.e. a generator for the maximal ideal of R . Moreover, if R has equal characteristic, we fix a k -algebra structure $\mu : k \rightarrow R$; this yields an isomorphism $R \cong k[[t]]$. For any integer $n \geq 0$, we put $R_n := R/(t^{n+1})$.

For any integer $d > 0$ prime to p , we put $K(d) := K[t(d)]/(t(d)^d - t)$. This is a totally ramified extension of degree d of K . Note that if k is not algebraically closed, such an extension is not necessarily unique. We denote by $R(d)$ the normalization $R[t(d)]/(t(d)^d - t)$ of R in $K(d)$, and for each $n > 0$, we embed $R(d)$ in $R(nd)$ by putting $t(d) = t(nd)^n$.

2.2. Formal schemes and rigid varieties. An *stft* formal R -scheme X_∞ is a separated formal scheme, topologically of finite type over R . We denote the category of *stft* formal R -schemes by (stft/R) . For every $X_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R)$, we denote its special fiber by X_0 , and its generic fiber (in the category of separated quasi-compact rigid K -varieties) by X_η . For any integer $n \geq 0$, we put $X_n := X_\infty \times_R R_n$, which is a separated R_n -scheme of finite type.

We say that X_∞ is generically smooth, if X_η is a smooth rigid K -variety. We denote by $\text{Sm}(X_\infty)$ the smooth part of X_∞ over R .

2.3. Group actions. Fix a finite group G . We say that a left action of G on a scheme S is *good* if every orbit of this action is contained in an affine open subscheme of S . By [Gro63, Exposé V, Proposition 1.8] this is the same as requiring a cover of U by affine, open, G -invariant subschemes. By requiring the action to be good, one makes sure that the quotient exists in the category of schemes, see [Gro63, Exposé V.1]. If not mentioned otherwise, all group actions on schemes will be left actions.

For a given separated scheme S with a good G -action, we denote by $(\text{Sch}_{S,G})$ the category whose objects are separated schemes of finite type over S with a good G -action such that the structure map is G -equivariant, and whose morphisms are G -equivariant morphisms of S -schemes. One can check that the fiber product exists in this category by constructing a good G -action on the fiber product in the category of separated schemes of finite type.

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring as in Section 2.1. A *nice action* of G on R is a right action of G on R , such that the induced action on the residue field k is trivial. In the case of equal characteristic we also assume that G respects the chosen k -algebra structure. We say that G acts *nice* on R . Note that a nice G -action on R induces a unique G -action on R_n for all $n > 0$, with the property that the quotient maps $R \rightarrow R_n$ and $R_n \rightarrow R_m$ for $n \geq m > 0$ are G -equivariant.

We call a G -action on R *tame* if the characteristic of the residue field k is prime to the order of G , and *wild* otherwise.

Example 2.1. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring, and consider $R(d)$, a finite totally ramified extension of R of degree d , with quotient field $K(d)$. Then $G := \text{Gal}(K(d)/K)$ acts on $R(d)$, and because the extension is totally ramified the induced action on the residue field k of $R(d)$ is trivial.

Assume R has equal characteristic with residue field k containing all roots of unity, and that d is prime to the characteristic p of k . Then we have that $R \cong k[[t]]$, $R(d) \cong R[[t(d)]]$ with $t(d)^d = t$, and the action of $G = \text{Gal}(K(d)/K) \cong \mu_d$, where μ_d is the group of d -th roots of unity, on $R(d)$ is given by sending $t(d)$ to $\xi t(d)$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$.

A *good* G -action on a formal scheme X_∞ is a left action of G on X_∞ , such that any orbit is contained in an affine open formal subscheme of X_∞ . If not mentioned otherwise, all actions on formal schemes will be left actions.

For a given complete discrete valuation ring R with a nice G -action, we denote by $(stft/R, G)$ the category of flat, *stft* formal R -schemes X_∞ , endowed with a good G -action compatible with the G -action on R , i.e. the structure morphism $X_\infty \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} R$ is G -equivariant. Morphisms are G -equivariant morphisms of formal R -schemes. Note that such a G -action on a formal scheme X_∞ induces a G -action on the R_n -scheme $X_n = X_\infty \times_R R_n$ with G -equivariant structure map. Moreover, for all $n \geq m \geq 0$ the restriction maps $X_m \rightarrow X_n$ are G -equivariant.

Example 2.2. Consider $R(d)$ with the nice G -action as in Example 2.1. Let X_∞ be a *stft* formal R -scheme, and put $X_\infty(d) := X_\infty \times_R R(d)$. Using the universal property of the fiber product, the nice G -action on $R(d)$ induces a good G -action on $X_\infty(d)$ such that the structural morphism $X_\infty(d) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} R(d)$ is G -equivariant. Hence in particular $X_\infty(d) \in (stft/R(d), G)$.

3. GREENBERG SCHEMES WITH GROUP ACTIONS

Throughout this section, let G be an abstract finite group, and let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with perfect residue field k , endowed with a nice G -action.

3.1. The Greenberg scheme of a formal scheme. In this subsection we give a short summary of the construction of the Greenberg scheme of a formal scheme, and fix notations. We do this in consideration of the nice group action on R . Details, proofs, and more references can be found for example in [NS11b, Chapter 2.2].

3.1.1. The ring scheme $\mathcal{R}_n$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If R has equal characteristic, set

$$\mathcal{R}_n : (k - \mathrm{alg}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{rings}); A \mapsto A \otimes_k R_n.$$

If R has mixed characteristic, then let $\mathcal{R}_n$ be the sheafification in the fpqc-topology of the functor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_n : (k - \mathrm{alg}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{rings}); A \mapsto W(A) \otimes_{W(k)} R_n,$$

where $W(A)$ is the ring of Witt vectors with coefficient in A . In both cases, $\mathcal{R}_n$ is represented by a ring scheme. We also denote this scheme by $\mathcal{R}_n$.

Note that the quotient maps $q_m^n : R_n \rightarrow R_m$ induce maps of functors by sending $f \in \mathcal{R}_n(A)$ to $(id \otimes q_m^n) \circ f$, and thus of schemes $\mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_m$ for all $n \geq m \geq 0$. We define $\mathcal{R}$ to be the k -scheme representing the limit of the projective system $(\mathcal{R}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Remark 3.1. Every automorphism g_{R_n} of R_n inducing the identity on k gives rise to a morphism of the functor $\mathcal{R}_n$ by sending $f \in \mathcal{R}_n(A)$ to $(id \otimes g_{R_n}) \circ f$, and hence we get an automorphism of the scheme $\mathcal{R}_n$. Thus the right G -action on R_n induced by the right G -action on R gives us naturally a right G -action on $\mathcal{R}_n$. As for all $n \geq m \geq 0$ the quotient maps $q_m^n : R_n \rightarrow R_m$ are G -equivariant, the same holds by construction for the induced maps $\mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_m$.

3.1.2. The ideal schemes $\mathcal{J}_n^m$. In the proofs in Section 3.3 and Section 3.4, we will need to consider ideal schemes, which can be found for example in [CLNS16, Chapter 4, 2.3.1]: define for all $m \geq n \geq 0$ the functor

$$\mathcal{J}_n^m : (k - \mathrm{alg}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sets}); A \mapsto \ker(\mathcal{R}_m(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(A)).$$

It is representable by a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{R}_m$, which we call the *ideal scheme* $\mathcal{J}_n^m$. If $n \leq m \leq 2n + 1$, the square of $\mathcal{J}_n^m$ in $\mathcal{R}_m$ is zero, hence we can view $\mathcal{J}_n^m$ as a module over $\mathcal{R}_n$.

In the case of equal characteristic, for every choice of an uniformizer $t \in R$ we have that for every k -algebra A

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{J}_n^m(A) = \{a_{n+1}t^{n+1} + \cdots + a_mt^m \mid a_i \in A\}.$$

Hence we get a functorial bijection $i(A) : \mathcal{J}_n^m(A) \rightarrow A^{m-n}$ by sending an element of the form $a_{n+1}t^{n+1} + \cdots + a_mt^m$ to $(a_{n+1}, \dots, a_m) \in A^{m-n}$.

Remark 3.2. Note that the G -action on $\mathcal{R}_m$ constructed in Remark 3.1 restricts to $\mathcal{J}_n^m$, because the map $\mathcal{R}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n$ is G -equivariant.

Let A be a k -algebra. Take any $g \in G$, and denote by $g_m \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{J}_n^m(A))$ and $g_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{R}_n(A))$ the corresponding automorphisms. As both the G -action on $\mathcal{J}_n^m$ and $\mathcal{R}_n$ come from the same G -action on R , the action on $\mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$ is compatible with the $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$ -module structure, which we have in the case of $n \leq m \leq 2n+1$, i.e. for all $r \in \mathcal{R}_n(A)$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$ we have $g_m(rx) = g_n(r)g_m(x)$.

Example 3.3. Assume that R has equal characteristic and k contains all roots of unity. As G acts nicely on R , G acts trivially on the chosen lifting of k . Using this lifting of k we get an A -module structure on $\mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$ with the property that for all $a \in A$ and $x \in \mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$ we have $g_m(ax) = ag_m(x)$. Assume now in addition that G acts tamely on R . Then after maybe changing the uniformizer t of R , we may assume that $g \in G$ acts on $\mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$, which is given as in (2), by sending $a_{n+1}t^{n+1} + \cdots + a_mt^m$ to $a_{n+1}\xi^{n+1}t^{n+1} + \cdots + a_m\xi^mt^m$, where $\xi \in k$ is a $|g|$ -th root of unity. If G is abelian, we can choose a t not depending on g .

Now introduce the notation $\mathcal{J}_n$ for $\mathcal{J}_n^{n+1}$, which has a canonical structure as a vector space. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be the maximal ideal, and denote by V the one dimensional k -vector space $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. Set $V(i) := V^{\otimes i}$ for $i \geq 0$, and for $i < 0$ set $V(i) := V(-i)^*$, the dual of $V(-i)$. Let A be again a k -algebra. Then, as explained in [CLNS16, Chapter 4, 2.3.1], the map

$$\Psi_{\text{eq}} : V(n+1) \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_n(A); v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes a \mapsto v_0 \dots v_n a$$

in the case of equal characteristic, and

$$\Psi_{\text{mix}} : V(\beta) \otimes {}^p A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_n(A); v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes a \mapsto v_0 \dots v_n(0, \dots, 0, a)$$

in the case of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ of absolute ramification index $e \geq 0$, are isomorphism of A -modules. In the case of mixed characteristic, α is the integer, such that R_{n+1} has characteristic p^α , β is the remainder of the Euclidean division of $n+1$ by e , and $(0, \dots, 0, a) \in W(A)$ is the $(\alpha-1)$ -th Verschiebung of a .

Remark 3.4. Note that the G -action on $R_1 = R/\mathfrak{m}^2$ restricts to V , because automorphisms map maximal ideals to maximal ideals. As the action of G on R is nice, and hence the induced action on the residue field k is trivial, the action on V is given by multiplication with an element $\xi_g \in k$ for every $g \in G$. For $i \geq 0$, let G act on $V(i)$ by acting on the factors separately. It follows in particular that the automorphisms of $V(i)$ defining the action of G are linear maps.

It is easy to see that Ψ_{eq} and Ψ_{mix} are in fact G -invariant, for the considered G -action on $\mathcal{J}_n$ and on $V(i)$ and the trivial action on A and on ${}^p A$, respectively.

For $i \leq 0$, we associate for every $g \in G$ the dual g_V^* of the corresponding automorphism g_V of $V(-i)$, i.e. $f \in V(i) = V^*(-i)$ gets sent to $f \circ g_V$. With this actions the canonical map $V(i) \otimes V(-i) \rightarrow k$ sending (f, v) to $f(v) \in k$ is G -equivariant, if we equip k with the trivial action of G .

3.1.3. The Greenberg scheme.

Definition 3.5. Let X_n be an R_n -scheme of finite type. By [Gre61] the functor

$$(k - \text{alg}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets}); A \mapsto \text{Hom}_{R_n}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)), X_n) = X_n(\mathcal{R}_n(A))$$

is representable by a k -scheme of finite type. We call this scheme the n -th *Greenberg scheme* $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_n)$ of X_n . If X_∞ is a *stft* formal R -scheme, we put for each $n \geq 0$ $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty) := \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_n)$, with $X_n = X_\infty \times_R R_n$.

For any pair of integers $n \geq m \geq 0$, and any R_n -scheme of finite type X_n , the morphisms $\mathcal{R}_n(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_m(A)$ for all k -algebras A induce a canonical morphism of k -schemes

$$\theta_m^n : \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_m(X_\infty).$$

As explained in [NS11b, Chapter 2.2], this morphism is affine. Hence we can take the projective limit in the category of k -schemes.

Definition 3.6. Let X_∞ be a *stft* formal R -scheme. Then

$$\mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty) := \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$$

is called the *Greenberg scheme* of X_∞ .

For all $n \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is endowed with natural truncation maps

$$\theta_n : \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty).$$

Let $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be a morphism of formal schemes, i.e. we have compatible morphisms $h_n : Y_n \rightarrow X_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The h_n induce maps

$$\mathrm{Gr}_n(h) : \mathrm{Gr}_n(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty),$$

which are, on the level of functors, given by sending a map $\gamma : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)) \rightarrow Y_n$ to $h_n \circ \gamma : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)) \rightarrow X_n$ for all k -algebras A . By construction, these maps are compatible with the truncation maps, so we also get a map

$$\mathrm{Gr}(h) : \mathrm{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty),$$

and the following diagram commutes:

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Gr}(Y_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & \mathrm{Gr}_n(Y_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\theta_m^n} & \mathrm{Gr}_m(Y_\infty) \\ \mathrm{Gr}(h) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n(h) & & \downarrow \mathrm{Gr}_m(h) \\ \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\theta_n} & \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty) & \xrightarrow{\theta_m^n} & \mathrm{Gr}_m(X_\infty) \end{array}$$

Note that θ_n and θ_m^n depend on Y_∞ and X_∞ , respectively. To keep the notation simple we do not indicate this dependence. If it is clear from the context which map we mean, we will write h instead of $\mathrm{Gr}(h)$ or $\mathrm{Gr}_n(h)$.

Remark 3.7. Note that every point $x \in \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$ with residue field F corresponds to a section $\psi \in X_\infty(\mathcal{R}(F))$. If R has equal characteristic, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}(F)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field F and ramification index one over R .

Remark 3.8. Let Y be a k -scheme, and consider $h_n(Y) := (|Y|, \mathrm{Hom}_k(Y, \mathcal{R}_n))$, the locally ringed space with underlying topological space $|Y|$ and structure sheaf $\mathrm{Hom}_k(Y, \mathcal{R}_n)$. If $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is affine, $h_n(Y)$ is isomorphic to the affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A))$. With this notation we have for all formal *stft* schemes X_∞ over R and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(Y, \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R_n}(h_n(Y), X_n).$$

Some more information on $h_n(Y)$ can be found for example in [Seb04, Section 3.1].

3.2. Construction of the group action. The aim of this subsection is to construct a G -action on the Greenberg scheme of a stft formal R -scheme with G -action, such that the maps in Diagram (3) are G -equivariant. Note that the following construction was already done in the case of Example 2.2 in [NS08, 6.1.2]; here we show how this result extends in a more general setting.

Proposition 3.9. *For every $X_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R, G)$, there are good actions of G on the k -schemes $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ for every integer $n \geq 0$, and on $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$, such that the action on $\text{Gr}_0(X_\infty) \cong X_0$ coincides with the G -action induced by the action on X_∞ , and such that for $n \geq m \geq 0$, the truncation maps*

$$\theta_m^n : \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_m(X_\infty) \text{ and } \theta_n : \text{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$$

are G -equivariant. Moreover, if $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ is a morphism in $(\text{stft}/R, G)$, then the induced maps $\text{Gr}_n(h)$ and $\text{Gr}(h)$ are G -equivariant, too.

Proof. Note that it suffices to construct the action of G on $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ for any integer $n \geq 0$, and to show that the truncation maps θ_m^n are equivariant for any $n \geq m \geq 0$. The action on $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is then obtained by passing to the projective limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the θ_n are G -equivariant by construction.

Take any k -algebra A . By Remark 3.1 there is a right G -action on $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$ which is compatible with the G -action on R_n . Hence we get a left G -action on $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A))$ such that the structure map to $\text{Spec}(R_n)$ is G -invariant. Fix a $g \in G$. Let $g_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)))$ be the corresponding automorphism. Consider the G -action on X_n induced by the G -action on X_∞ , and let $g_{X_n} \in \text{Aut}(X_n)$ be the automorphism corresponding to g . We define a map

$$g_F : \text{Hom}_{R_n}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)), X_n) = \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)(\text{Spec}(A)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_n}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)), X_n);$$

$$f \mapsto g_{X_n} \circ f \circ g_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}^{-1}.$$

Here $g_{X_n} \circ f \circ g_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}^{-1}$ is an R_n -morphism, because the structure map of the two R_n -schemes $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A))$ and X_n are G -equivariant. Hence g_F is well defined. For every morphism of k -algebras $A' \rightarrow A$ the induced map $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A'))$ is G -equivariant, so g_F yields a natural transformation of the functor

$$F : (\text{Sch}/k)^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow (\text{Sets}); Y \mapsto \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)(Y).$$

Here we use that F is a sheaf in the Zariski topology and hence it suffices to give maps on affine schemes $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$. By Jóneda's lemma we get an automorphism of the k -scheme $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. Doing the same construction for every $g \in G$ we obtain a group action of G on $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$.

Note that for $n = 0$, the action on $\mathcal{R}_0(A) = A$ is trivial for all k -algebras A , and hence the action on $\text{Gr}_0(X_\infty) \cong X_0$ is just the action on X_0 induced by the action on X_∞ . For any pair of integers $m \geq n \geq 0$, the truncation morphism θ_m^n is equivariant, since for any k -algebra A the natural morphism $\mathcal{R}_m(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(A)$ is equivariant, see Remark 3.1, and the same holds for $X_m \rightarrow X_n$ by construction of the group action.

As the maps θ_m^n are affine and G -equivariant, a cover of X_0 by affine G -invariant open subsets gives rise to a similar cover of $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ and $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$, thus a good G -action on X_∞ induces good G -actions on the Greenberg schemes.

Now take a G -equivariant morphism of formal schemes $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$. Then for every affine k -scheme $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$, $f \in \text{Gr}_n(Y_\infty)(Y) = \text{Hom}_{R_n}(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_n(A)), Y_\infty)$ and $g \in G$ we have that $h_n \circ (g_{Y_n} \circ f \circ g_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}^{-1}) = g_{X_n} \circ (h_n \circ f) \circ g_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}^{-1}$, because h is G -equivariant. Hence the $\text{Gr}_n(h)$ are G -equivariant, too. Taking the limit gives us the same result for $\text{Gr}(h)$. $\square$

Remark 3.10. Let x be a point of $\mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$ corresponding canonically to an unramified extension R' of R , and a section ψ in $X_\infty(R')$, see Remark 3.7. Since the residual action of G on k is trivial, the G -action on R extends canonically to a G -action on R' which induces the trivial action on the residue field. For any $g \in G$, let $g_{R'} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Spf}(R'))$ and $g_{X_\infty} \in \mathrm{Aut}(X_\infty)$ be the corresponding automorphisms. Then g maps x to the point corresponding to the section $g_{X_\infty} \circ \psi \circ g_{R'}^{-1} \in X_\infty(R')$.

3.3. The structure of the truncation maps. The aim of this subsection is to study the truncation maps on the Greenberg scheme under consideration of the G -action constructed in the previous subsection. All considered G -actions on Greenberg schemes are those constructed in Proposition 3.9.

Definition 3.11. Let B be an S -scheme. An *affine bundle over B of rank d* is a B -scheme V with a vector bundle $E \rightarrow B$ of rank d and a B -morphism $\varphi : E \times_B V \rightarrow V$ such that $\varphi \times p_V : E \times_B V \rightarrow V \times_B V$, where p_V denotes the projection to V , is an isomorphism of B -schemes. We call E the *translation space* of V .

An affine bundle V over B is called *G -equivariant*, if V and B are in $(\mathrm{Sch}_{S,G})$, and $V \rightarrow B$ is G -equivariant. The G -action on $V \rightarrow B$ is called *affine* if there is a G -action on E , linear over the action on B , such that φ is G -equivariant. An action on E is *linear over the action on B* if for all $g \in G$ the map $g' : E \rightarrow g_B^* E$ induced by the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & & \\ \downarrow g' & \searrow g_E & \\ g_B^*(E) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{g_B} & B \end{array}$$

is a morphism of vector bundles. Here $g_B \in \mathrm{Aut}(B)$ and $g_E \in \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ are the automorphisms of B and E induced by g .

For a discussion of equivariant affine bundles with affine group action we refer to [Har15b, Section 3]. We are now using the definition to describe the truncation maps.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $X_\infty \in (\mathrm{stft}/R, G)$ be smooth of pure relative dimension m over R . Then for every integer $n \geq 0$, the truncation map*

$$\theta_n^{n+1} : \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$$

is a G -equivariant affine bundle of rank m with affine G -action.

Proof. Locally on $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, this proposition was shown in the non-equivariant case for example in [NS11b, Proposition 2.10], using étale covers. In this proof we will use a proof of the non-equivariant case from [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Theorem 2.4.4], because there the translation space is constructed explicitly using derivations. We will start explaining this construction, and then construct a G -action on the translation space and examine it. Note that all the steps in the prove which do not correspond to the G -action are taken from [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Section 2], where one can also find more explanations and proofs.

Construction of the affine bundle structure. Let $\gamma : h_n(\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)) \rightarrow X_\infty$ be the morphism corresponding to the identity morphism on $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, see Remark 3.8. Consider the sheave

$$(\mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)}) \rightarrow (\mathrm{Ab}); (f : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{h_n(S)}}(h_n(f)^* \gamma^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}, \mathcal{J}_n),$$

and denote it by $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$. Here $h_n(f) : h_n(S) \rightarrow h_n(\mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty))$ is the morphism induced by $f : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$, and $\mathcal{J}_n$ is the $\mathcal{R}_n$ -module defined in Section 3.1.2, which becomes a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{h_n(S)}$ -modules by tensoring it over R_n .

We will show later how this sheaf is represented by a vector bundle $V_{X_\infty}^n$ of rank m . Now we will explain on the level of sheaves the construction of a map $\varphi : V_{X_\infty}^n \times_{\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)} \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)$, which makes $\mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)$ an affine bundle of rank m over $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ with translation space $V_{X_\infty}^n$, see [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Theorem 2.4.4]. Note that it is sufficient to give maps on sheaves over $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ for affine $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ -schemes only. Thus using that the truncation maps are affine, we can without loss of generality replace X_∞ by an open affine subspace $\mathrm{Spf}(B)$. Note that we may assume that the action of G on X_∞ restricts to $\mathrm{Spf}(B)$, because the action on X_∞ is good by assumption, and therefore X_∞ is covered by G -invariant affine open subspace.

Let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be an affine point of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, which corresponds to an R -morphism $h : B \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(A)$. With this notation we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B,h} \mathcal{R}_n(A), \mathcal{J}_n(A)).$$

Hence an element in $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S)$ corresponds to an R -derivation D of B with values in the $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$ -module $\mathcal{J}_n(A) \subset \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(A)$ over the morphism $h : B \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(A)$. This means by definition that for all $r \in R \subset B$ we have that $D(r) = 0$, and for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$ we have

$$D(b_1 + b_2) = D(b_1) + D(b_2) \text{ and } D(b_1 b_2) = h(b_1)D(b_2) + h(b_2)D(b_1).$$

Recall furthermore that $\mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)(S) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, \mathcal{R}_{n+1}(A))$. The required maps

$$\varphi(S) : \mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S) \times \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)(S)$$

are given by sending (D, h') to $h' + D$.

Construction of the group action. Take any $g \in G$. Denote by g_B the corresponding automorphism of B , and let g_n be the corresponding automorphism of $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Denote by g_n also the restriction of the G -action on $\mathcal{R}_n(A)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{n-1}(A)$. Take any derivation $D \in \mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S)$, and look at $\tilde{D} := g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ D \circ g_B$. For all $r \in R$ we have that $\tilde{D}(r) = g_{n+1}^{-1}(D(g_B(r))) = g_{n+1}^{-1}(0) = 0$. This is due to the fact that $g_B(r) \in R$, because $R \rightarrow B$ is G -equivariant. As g_{n+1}^{-1} and g_B are ringhomomorphisms, and D is a derivation, and hence all are additive, $\tilde{D}$ is additive, too. Moreover we have for $b_1, b_2 \in B$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(b_1 b_2) &= g_{n+1}^{-1}(h(g_B(b_1))D(g_B(b_2)) + h(g_B(b_2))D(g_B(b_1))) \\ &= \tilde{h}(b_1)\tilde{D}(b_2) + \tilde{h}(b_2)\tilde{D}(b_1) \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{h} := g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ h \circ g_B$. So $\tilde{D}$ is a derivation over $\tilde{h}$. By Remark 3.2, we have that $\tilde{h} = g_n^{-1} \circ h \circ g_B$. Note that the action on $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ sends the $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ -scheme $S = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ which corresponds to the morphism $h : B \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(A)$ to the $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ -scheme corresponding to the morphism $g_n^{-1} \circ h \circ g_B = \tilde{h}$. Hence $D \mapsto \tilde{D}$ gives rise to a well defined map from $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S)$ to $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(g_{\mathrm{Gr}_n}(S))$, where g_{Gr_n} denotes the automorphism of $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ corresponding to $g \in G$.

Doing the same for every affine scheme Y over $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, we get a morphism of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$, and hence an automorphism of the scheme $V_{X_\infty}^n$ representing it, over g_{Gr_n} . Doing the same for every $g \in G$, we get a well defined G -action on $V_{X_\infty}^n$ over the G -action on $\mathrm{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. As

$$g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ h' \circ g_B + g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ D \circ g_B = g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ (h' + D) \circ g_B$$

for all $g \in G$, φ is G -equivariant with the considered G -actions.

Description of the group action without derivations. Note now that for all $g \in G$ the ring homomorphism $g_B : B \rightarrow B$ induces an additive map $g_\Omega : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ by sending $b'db$ to $g_B(b')d(g_B(b))$, with $b, b' \in B$. Here $d : B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ is the canonical map, which is G -equivariant by construction. Let D be again a derivation of B with image in $\mathcal{J}_n(A)$ over h , and $\tilde{D} = g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ D \circ g_B$. By the universal property of $\Omega_{B/R}$ there exists a unique morphism of B -modules $f : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_n(A)$ with $f \circ d = D$, and a unique morphism of B -modules $\tilde{f} : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_n(A)$ with $\tilde{f} \circ d = \tilde{D}$. Note that in the first case $\mathcal{J}_n(A)$ is a B -module via h , and in the second case via $\tilde{h}$. Set $\tilde{f}' := g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f \circ g_\Omega$, which is additive, and for all $b \in B$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{B/R}$

$$\tilde{f}'(b\omega) = g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f(g_B(b)g_\Omega(\omega)) = g_{n+1}^{-1}((h \circ g_B)(b)(f \circ g_\Omega)(\omega)) = \tilde{h}(b)\tilde{f}'(\omega).$$

Hence $\tilde{f}'$ is a morphism of B -modules (via $\tilde{h}$). Moreover we have

$$\tilde{f}' \circ d = (g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f \circ g_\Omega) \circ d = \tilde{D}.$$

As $\tilde{f}$ is unique with this properties, it follows that $\tilde{f} = g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f \circ g_\Omega$. Let

$$g_\Omega \otimes g_n : \Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B, \tilde{h}} \mathcal{R}_n(A) \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B, h} \mathcal{R}_n(A)$$

be the map given by sending $\omega \otimes_{B, \tilde{h}} a$ to $g_\Omega(\omega) \otimes_{B, h} g_n(a)$. With this notation the G -action on $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B, h} \mathcal{R}_n(A), \mathcal{J}_n(A)) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_n(A)}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B, \tilde{h}} \mathcal{R}_n(A), \mathcal{J}_n(A)); \\ f &\mapsto g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f \circ (g_\Omega \otimes g_n). \end{aligned}$$

The vector bundle structure. We now explain the construction of the vector bundle $V_{X_\infty}^n$ representing $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$, see [CLNS16, Chapter 4, 2.4.3]. We do this under consideration of the constructed group action. Therefore, we restrict ourselves again to the case that $X_\infty = \text{Spf}(B)$ is affine, which implies that also $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty) = \text{Spec}(C)$ and $X_0 = \text{Spec}(B_0)$ are affine.

As the $\mathcal{R}_{n+1}$ -module structure of $\mathcal{J}_n$ factors through the quotient $\mathcal{R}_0$, we actually have for every affine $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ -scheme $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ with structure map f that

$$\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(f^* \theta_0^{n*} \Omega_{X_0/k}, \mathcal{J}_n) = \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{B_0/k} \otimes_{B_0, h_0} A, \mathcal{J}_n(A)).$$

Here $X_0 = \text{Spec}(B_0)$, and $h_0 : A \rightarrow B_0$ is the map corresponding to $\theta_0^n \circ f$. For all $g \in G$, denote by g_B also the restriction of g_B to B_0 , and by g_Ω also the restriction of g_Ω to $\Omega_{B_0/k}$. After restricting all involved maps, the G -action on $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$ is given by sending $f \in \mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S)$ to

$$g_{n+1}^{-1} \circ f \circ (g_\Omega \otimes \text{id}) \in \mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(g_{\text{Gr}_n}(S)) = \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{B_0/k} \otimes_{B_0, g_B \circ h_0} A, \mathcal{J}_n(A)).$$

Recall that by Remark 3.4, there is a G -equivariant isomorphism between the A -modules $\mathcal{J}_n(A)$ and $V(\beta) \otimes_k \mathcal{F}_{A*}^R(A)$. Here $\mathcal{F}_{A*}^R$ is the identity if R has equal characteristic, and some power depending on R of the absolute Frobenius on A if R has mixed characteristic, and $V(\beta)$ is a one-dimensional vector space. Moreover, the G -action on $V(\beta) \otimes_k \mathcal{F}_{A*}^R(A)$ is given by automorphisms $g_V \otimes \text{id}$ for all $g \in G$, where g_V a linear map on $V(\beta)$. Using this G -equivariant isomorphism, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S) &\cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{B_0/k} \otimes_{B_0, h_0} A, V(\beta) \otimes_k \mathcal{F}_{A*}^R(A)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k} \otimes_{B_0, h_0} A, \mathcal{F}_{A*}^R(A)), \end{aligned}$$

where $V(-\beta)$ is the dual of $V(\beta)$. We get from the first to the second line by using the isomorphism l , which sends f with $f(\omega \otimes a) = f_V(\omega \otimes a) \otimes f_A(\omega \otimes a)$ to $l(f)$ with $l(f)(v \otimes \omega \otimes a) = v(f_V(\omega \otimes a))f_A(\omega \otimes a)$. Note that if the G -action on the last is given by sending $\tilde{f}$ to $\tilde{f} \circ (g_V^* \otimes g_\Omega \otimes \text{id})$, where g_V^* is the dual morphism of g_V for all $g \in G$, then l is G -equivariant.

To get rid of the Frobenius, we pull back both sides via $\mathcal{F}_A^R$, and get that

$$\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n(S) = \text{Hom}_A(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{X_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, h_0} A, A),$$

with $\Omega_{X_0/k}^R := \mathcal{F}_{X_0}^{R*} \Omega_{X_0/k} = \Omega_{X_0/k} \otimes_{B_0, \mathcal{F}_{B_0}^R} B_0$. Denote by g_Ω also the automorphism of $\Omega_{X_0/k}^R$ we get by pulling back g_Ω via $\mathcal{F}_k^R$. Using that by [Liu02, Lemma 3.2.22.] the absolute Frobenius commutes with morphism of schemes over $\mathbb{F}_p$, it is also given by sending $b\omega$ to $g_B(b)g_\Omega(\omega)$. Recall that we assumed that $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty) = \text{Spec}(C)$ for some C , and denote by $\tau : B_0 \rightarrow C$ the map induced by θ_0^n , and by $c_A : C \rightarrow A$ the map induced by f . For all $g \in G$, denote by g_C the automorphism of C induced by the G -action on $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. With this notation, the G -action on $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{X_\infty}^n(S) &= \text{Hom}_A(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C \otimes_{C, c_A} A, A) \\ &\rightarrow J_{X_\infty}^n(g_{\text{Gr}_n}(S)) = \text{Hom}_A(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C \otimes_{C, c_A \circ g_C} A, A); \\ f &\mapsto f \circ (g_V^{*-1} \otimes g_\Omega \otimes g_C \otimes \text{id}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used that τ is actually G -equivariant.

As in [Gro61, Proposition 1.7.11], let V be the contravariant functor sending a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)}$ -module ξ to the affine $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ -scheme $\text{Spec}(\text{Sym}(\xi))$, where $\text{Sym}(\xi)$ is the symmetric $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)}$ -algebra, see [Gro61, 1.7.4]. Using this notation we get that $V_{X_\infty}^n = V(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C)$ represents $\mathcal{J}_{X_\infty}^n$. As X_∞ is smooth of relative dimension m over R , $\Omega_{B_0/k}^R$ is a locally free sheaf of rank m , and hence the same holds for $V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C$. Thus $V_{X_\infty}^n$ is a vector bundle of rank m . Moreover, for every $g \in G$ the automorphism of the scheme $V_{X_\infty}^n$ is given by the automorphism $g_V^{*-1} \otimes g_\Omega \otimes g_C$ on the corresponding C -module $V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C$.

The group action is affine. In order to check whether the considered action on $V_{X_\infty}^n$ is linear over the base, we tensor $V_{X_\infty}^n$ with $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ over g_{Gr_n} for every $g \in G$. By [Gro61, Proposition 1.7.11] we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{Gr}_n}^*(V_{X_\infty}^n) &= V_{X_\infty}^n \times_{\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)} \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty) = V(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C \otimes_{C, g_C} C) \\ &= V(V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{X_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, g_B} B_0 \otimes_{B_0, \tau} C). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used again that τ is G -equivariant. The induced map $g' : V_{X_\infty}^n \rightarrow g_{\text{Gr}_n}^*(V_{X_\infty}^n)$, see Definition 3.11, is given on the level of C -modules by the map $\tilde{g}$ defined by $\tilde{g}(v \otimes \omega \otimes b \otimes c) = g_V^{*-1}(v) \otimes g_\Omega(\omega) \otimes b \otimes c$. We now want to show that $\tilde{g}$ is a morphism of C -modules. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}' : V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, g_B} B_0 &\rightarrow V(-\beta) \otimes_k \Omega_{B_0/k}^R \otimes_{B_0, \text{id}} B_0; \\ v \otimes \omega \otimes b &\mapsto g_V^{*-1}(v) \otimes g_\Omega(\omega) \otimes b \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of B_0 -module. Let $v_0 \in V(-\beta)$ be a basis of this vector space. Hence for every element $v \in V(-\beta)$ there is a $\bar{v} \in k$ such that $v = \bar{v}v_0$. Take now any $v_1 = \bar{v}_1v_0, v_2 = \bar{v}_2v_0 \in V(-\beta)$, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega_{B_0/k}^R$, and $b_1, b_2 \in B_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}'(v_1 \otimes_k \omega_1 \otimes_{B_0, g_B} b_1 + v_2 \otimes_k \omega_2 \otimes_{B_0, g_B} b_2) &= \tilde{g}'(v_0 \otimes_k (\bar{v}_1 g_B^{-1}(b_1)\omega_1 + \bar{v}_2 g_B^{-1}(b_2)\omega_2) \otimes_{B_0, g_B} 1) \\ &= g_V^{*-1}(v_0) \otimes_k g_\Omega(\bar{v}_1 g_B^{-1}(b_1)\omega_1 + \bar{v}_2 g_B^{-1}(b_2)\omega_2) \otimes_{B_0, \text{id}} 1 \\ &= g_V^{*-1}(v_0) \otimes_k (\bar{v}_1 b_1 g_\Omega(\omega_1) + \bar{v}_2 b_2 g_\Omega(\omega_2)) \otimes_{B_0, \text{id}} 1 \\ &= \tilde{g}'(v_1 \otimes_k \omega_1 \otimes_{B_0, g_B} b_1) + \tilde{g}'(v_2 \otimes_k \omega_2 \otimes_{B_0, g_B} b_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{g}'$ is additive. It is clear that $\tilde{g}'$ is multiplicative in B_0 , hence it is a morphism of B_0 -modules, and thus $\tilde{g}$ is a morphism of C -modules. Note that by [Gro61, 1.7.14] a morphism of C -modules $\xi \rightarrow \xi'$, corresponds to a morphism of C -algebras $\text{Sym}(\xi) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\xi')$. This implies that the corresponding maps of schemes between $V(\xi) = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}(\xi))$ and $V(\xi')$ is a morphism of vector bundles. To show this, one uses the construction of the vector bundle structure given in [Gro61, 1.7.10]. Thus $\tilde{g}$ corresponds to a morphism of vector bundle, and hence the action on $V_{X_\infty}^n$ is linear over that on $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. Hence altogether $\text{Gr}_{n+1}(X_\infty)$ is an affine bundle over $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ with translation space $V_{X_\infty}^n$ and affine G -action. $\square$

3.4. Greenberg schemes and equivariant morphisms of formal schemes.

Throughout this subsection, assume that R has equal characteristic. Moreover, fix a morphism $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ of flat *stft* formal R -schemes, both of pure relative dimension m over R .

The aim of this section is to examine the induced map $\text{Gr}_n(h)$ on the corresponding Greenberg schemes with respect to the induced G -action constructed in Proposition 3.9. Before we can state the main result, we first need to introduce the order of the Jacobian of h , as defined for example in [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Definition 3.1.2].

Definition 3.13. We define the *Jacobian ideal* $\text{Jac}_h \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y_\infty}$ as the 0-th fitting ideal of the sheaf of relative differential forms $\Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty}$. If X_∞ and Y_∞ are smooth over R , Jac_h is generated by the determinant of the map

$$h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}.$$

This holds, because if X_∞ and Y_∞ are both smooth over R , then the modules of differentials are free of rank d , hence the map above defines a free resolution of $\Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty}$. For the general definition of fitting ideals we refer to [Eis95, Corollary-Definition 20.4]

Definition 3.14. Let $y \in \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ be any point with residue field F , and let ψ be the corresponding element in $Y_\infty(R')$, $R' := \mathcal{R}(F)$, which is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field F and ramification index one over R , see Remark 3.7. Denote by $\xi : R' \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ the valuation map, and let $\psi(0)$ be the image of the unique point of $\text{Spf}(R)$. Then $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$, the *order of the Jacobian of h* , is the function sending a point $y \in \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ to

$$\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y) = \min\{\xi(\psi^*(f)) \mid f \in (\text{Jac}_h)_{\psi(0)}\}.$$

Remark 3.15. Assume that X_∞ and Y_∞ are smooth over R . Let R' be a unramified extension of R with residue field F , and fix a section ψ in $Y_\infty(R')$. The canonical morphism $h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m$ induces a morphism of free rank one R' -modules

$$\psi^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \psi^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m.$$

By definition of the Fitting ideal, this map is just multiplying with the generator a of the fitting ideal of $\psi^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty}$. If ψ corresponds to a point $y \in \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ such that $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y) = e$, then a has valuation e by the definition of the order of Jac_h , and hence e is equal to the length of the cokernel of this map.

If Y_∞ is only generically smooth, $\psi^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m$ might have torsion elements. In this case $e = \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y)$ is the length of the cokernel of the map of free rank one R' -modules $\psi^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m / (\text{torsion}) \rightarrow \psi^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m$.

Using the order of the Jacobian of h , we have the following proposition describing the structure of $\text{Gr}_n(h)$ for big enough n :

Proposition 3.16. *Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic with residue field k containing all roots of unity, endowed with a nice and tame action of a finite abelian group G . Take $Y_\infty, X_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R, G)$ smooth over R , and a G -equivariant morphism $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ of formal schemes, which is generically an open embedding.*

Take any $y \in \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ with $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y) = e$, and set $x_n := \theta_n(\text{Gr}(h)(y))$ in $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ for some $n \geq 2e$. Denote by $G_x \subset G$ the stabilizer of x_n , and let B_{x_n} be the reduced subscheme of $\text{Gr}_n(h)^{-1}(x_n)$. Then

$$\text{Gr}_n(h) : B_{x_n} \rightarrow x_n$$

is a G_x -equivariant affine bundle of rank e with affine G_x -action.

Proof. Take any point $x_n = \text{Spec}(F) \in \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ as in the claim with stabilizer G_x . To simplify the notation, we assume that $G_x = G$, hence G acts in particular on the reduced subscheme B_{x_n} of $\text{Gr}_n(h)^{-1}(x_n)$. In [Seb04, Lemme 7.2.2], it was shown that $B_{x_n} \cong \mathbb{A}_F^e$. In our proof, we use the construction of a concrete affine bundle structure in [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Theorem 3.2.2] using derivations, to construct a G -equivariant isomorphism of F -schemes $\varphi : \mathbb{A}_F^e \rightarrow B_{x_n}$ such that the G -action on $\mathbb{A}_F^e$ is linear over the action on x_n . Note that the steps in the construction which do not concern the G -action are mainly taken from [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Section 3].

Construction of φ . Set $x = \text{Gr}(h)(y)$. Then $\theta_n(x) = x_n$. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $x_m := \theta_m(x)$ and $y_m := \theta_m(y)$. By [Seb04, Lemme 7.2.2] every point in B_{x_n} is mapped to y_{n-e} under θ_{n-e}^n . Hence B_{x_n} is a closed subset of $\theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e})$.

Note first that $\text{Gr}_n(Y_\infty)$ only depends on Y_n , as well as $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ only depends on X_n . Due to the local nature of the claim, we may replace X_n by an affine G -invariant neighborhood U of $x_0 = \theta_0^n(x_n) \in \text{Gr}_0(X_\infty) = X_0 \subset X_n$, which exists because the action of G on X_n is good. Moreover we may replace Y_n by an affine subset V containing $\theta_0^n(B_{x_n}) = y_0 \in \text{Gr}_0(Y_\infty) = Y_0 \subset Y_n$ of the intersection of $h^{-1}(U)$ and an affine subset of Y_n containing y_0 . Such a V exists due to [Liu02, Proposition 3.6.5]. Replacing V by $\cap_{g \in G} g(V)$ we may assume that V is G -invariant. Hence from now on we assume that $Y_n = \text{Spec}(B)$ and $X_n = \text{Spec}(C)$ are affine.

We will now describe $\theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e})$. Let $\gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}'_{n-e}) \rightarrow Y_\infty$ be the morphism corresponding to $y_{n-e} = \text{Spec}(F') \in \text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$, where $R'_m := \mathcal{R}_m(F')$. Consider the sheaf

$$(\text{Sch}_{F'}) \rightarrow (\text{Ab}); (f : S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F')) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{h_{n-e}(S)}}(h_{n-e}(f)^* \gamma^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n),$$

and denote it by $\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$. We will now construct a G -action on $\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$. This construction works analogously to that in the proof of Proposition 3.12, so we will be rather short on this. Again we may give maps only for affine y_{n-e} -schemes $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Recall that $Y_n = \text{Spec}(B)$ is affine, and denote by $\tau : B \rightarrow R'_{n-e}$ the morphism of rings corresponding to γ . With this notation we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(S) = \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B,\tau} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)).$$

For every $g \in G$, let $g_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A))$, $g_{n-e} \in \text{Aut}(R'_{n-e})$, and $g_B \in \text{Aut}(B)$ be the corresponding automorphisms. We can define a map $g_\Omega : \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ sending $b'db$ to $g_B(b')d(g_B(b))$, with $b, b' \in B$ and $d : B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ the canonical map. Consider the action on $\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$ given by sending $f \in \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(S)$ to

$$\begin{aligned} g_n^{-1} \circ f \circ (g_\Omega \otimes g_{n-e}) &\in \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(g_{\text{Gr}}(S)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B, g_{n-e}^{-1} \circ \tau \circ g_B} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)) \end{aligned}$$

for all affine y_{n-e} -schemes $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Here g_{Gr} denotes the automorphism of y_{n-e} induced by the automorphism of $\text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$ corresponding to $g \in G$. Exactly as done in the proof of Proposition 3.12 one can show that doing so we get a well

defined G -action on $\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$ over the action on y_{n-e} . Note that we also have a map of sheaves

$$\varphi_{Y_\infty} : \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{y_{n-e}} \theta_{n-e}^{e-1}(y_{n-e}) \rightarrow \theta_{n-e}^{e-1}(y_{n-e}),$$

making $\theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e})$ a principal homogenous space, see [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Proposition 2.4.2]. This map is constructed as φ in Proposition 3.12, and with the same proof as there one can show that φ_{Y_∞} is G -equivariant with the considered G -actions.

Note that we can do the same construction also for $\theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(x_{n-e}) \subset \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$. Moreover, we can define a G -equivariant map $i : \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{x_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$ as follows: recall that $X_n = \text{Spec}(C)$ and $Y_n = \text{Spec}(B)$ are affine, and denote by $\psi : C \rightarrow B$ the ringmorphism corresponding to $h_n := h|_{Y_n}$. Let $i_\Omega : \Omega_{C/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R}$ be the map given by sending $c'dc$ to $\psi(c')d(\psi(c))$. This map is G -equivariant for the considered actions on $\Omega_{C/R}$ and $\Omega_{B/R}$, because ψ is G -equivariant by assumption. Let $S = \text{Spec}(A)$ be again an affine y_{n-e} -scheme. Then i is given by sending

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(S) = \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/R} \otimes_{B,\tau} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)) \text{ to} \\ f \circ (i_\Omega \otimes \text{id}) &\in \mathcal{J}_{x_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(S) = \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{C/R} \otimes_{C,\tau \circ \psi} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tau \circ \psi$ is the ring morphism corresponding to $h \circ \gamma : \text{Spec}(R'_{n-e}) \rightarrow X_\infty$, which is corresponding to the point $x_{n-e} \in \text{Gr}_{n-e}(X_\infty)$. As i_Ω is G -equivariant, i is G -equivariant for the considered G -actions. Altogether we get the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{y_{n-e}} \theta_{n-e}^{e-1}(y_{n-e}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{Y_\infty}} & \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e}) \\ \downarrow i \times \text{Gr}_n(h) & & \downarrow \text{Gr}_n(h) \\ \mathcal{J}_{x_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{x_{n-e}} \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(x_{n-e}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_\infty}} & \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(x_{n-e}) \end{array}$$

Note that all the maps are G -equivariant. As $B_{x_n} \subset \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e})$ is G -invariant and mapped to the fixed point $x_n \in \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(x_{n-e})$, we can restrict this diagram to get the following diagram, which is still G -equivariant:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{y_{n-e}} B_{x_n} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{Y_\infty}} & \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e}) \\ \downarrow i \times \text{Gr}_n(h) & & \downarrow \text{Gr}_n(h) \\ \mathcal{J}_{x_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{x_{n-e}} x_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{X_\infty}} & \theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(x_{n-e}) \end{array}$$

Note that a point of the scheme $\mathcal{J}_{x_{n-e}}^{n,n-e} \times_{y_{n-e}} B_{x_n}$ lies in the inverse image of B_{x_n} in $\theta_{n-e}^{n-1}(y_{n-e})$ if and only if it is mapped to $(0, x_n)$ by $i \times \text{Gr}_n(h)$. Hence in order to describe B_{x_n} , we need to describe the kernel of i . Denote the corresponding subsheaf of $\mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$ by E . Note that $f \in \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}(A)$ lies in the kernel of i if and only if for all $c \in \psi(C) \subset B$ we have that $f(dc) = 0$. Hence we get

$$E(S) = \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B,\tau} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A))$$

for all affine y_{n-e} -schemes $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Consider now the map q_Ω which maps $b'db \in \Omega_{B/R}$ to $b'db \in \Omega_{B/C}$. The inclusion map $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n,n-e}$ is given by sending $f \in E(S)$ to $f \circ q_\Omega \otimes \text{id}$ for all y_{n-e} -schemes S .

Consider the G -action on $\Omega_{B/C}$ given by sending $b'db$ to $g_B(b')d(g_B(b))$ for all $g \in G$. Denote these maps also by g_Ω . These are well defined, because ψ is G -equivariant. By construction, q_Ω is G -equivariant with the considered G -actions. Let G act on E by sending for all $g \in G$, $f \in E(S)$ to $g_n^{-1} \circ f \circ (g_\Omega \otimes g_{n-e})$ in

$E(g_{\text{Gr}}(S))$. Then the inclusion $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{y_{n-e}}^{n, n-e}$ is G -equivariant with this G -action, thus like this we can describe the induced G -action on E over the action on y_{n-e} .

Next we observe that there is always a fixed point $\tilde{y}_n = \text{Spec}(F)$ in B_{x_n} : by Proposition 3.12, $\theta_n^{n+1} : \theta_n^{n+1-1}(x_n) \rightarrow x_n$ is an affine bundle over $x_n = \text{Spec}(F)$ with affine G -action. Hence by [Har15b, Remark 3.7], there exists a point $\tilde{x}_{n+1} = \text{Spec}(F)$ in $\theta_n^{n+1-1}(x_n)$ which is fixed by the action of G . To get this fixed point, one needs to assume that the action of G is tame. Using an induction argument, we get a fixed point $\tilde{x}_{n+e} = \text{Spec}(F)$ in $\theta_n^{n+e-1}(x_n) \subset \text{Gr}_{n+e}(X_\infty)$.

Restricting X_∞ to a suitable open formal subscheme, we may assume that h is generically an isomorphism, hence by [NS11a, Lemma 2.4.1], $\text{Gr}(h)$ is surjective. As in addition the truncation maps are surjective, because Y_∞ and X_∞ are smooth, $\text{Gr}_{n+e}(h)^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{n+e})$ is not empty. By [CLNS16, Chapter 4, 3.2.4], $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ is constant on connected components of Y_∞ , which implies that $\tilde{x}_{n+e}$ also lies in the image of a point $\tilde{y} \in \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ with $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(\tilde{y}) = e$. Hence by [Seb04, Lemme 7.2.2], $\text{Gr}_{n+e}(h)^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{n+e})$ is mapped to exactly one point $\tilde{y}_n = \text{Spec}(F)$, which lies in $B_{x_n} \subset \text{Gr}_n(Y_\infty)$. As $\tilde{x}_{n+e}$ is a fixed point and $\text{Gr}_{n+e}(h)$ is G -equivariant, the action of G on $\text{Gr}_{n+e}(Y_\infty)$ restricts to $\text{Gr}_{n+1}(h)^{-1}(\tilde{x}_{n+1})$. As moreover θ_n^{n+e} is G -equivariant, $\tilde{y}_n$ is a fixed point.

Now we can restrict φ_{Y_∞} to $E \times_{y_{n-e}} \tilde{y}_n$, and get a G -equivariant morphism $\varphi : E \times_{y_{n-e}} \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow B_{x_n}$ over $x_n = \text{Spec}(F)$, which is an isomorphism, because φ_{Y_∞} is a formally principal homogeneous space. Note that if E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{F'}^e$ and the action on E is linear over the action on $y_{n-e} = \text{Spec}(F')$, then $E \times_{y_{n-e}} \tilde{y}_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_F^e$ and the action on $E \times_{y_{n-e}} \tilde{y}_n$ is linear over the action on $\tilde{y}_n = \text{Spec}(F)$. Hence from now on we assume that $y_{n-e} \cong \tilde{y}_n = \text{Spec}(F)$, i.e. in particular $F = F'$, and $E = E \times_{y_{n-e}} \tilde{y}_n$.

The vector space structure of E . Now we recall the construction of the isomorphism $E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^e$ from [CLNS16, Chapter 4, Theorem 3.2.2]. As E is isomorphic to B_{x_n} which is reduced, it suffices to give this isomorphism on reduced affine F -schemes $S = \text{Spec}(A)$. Let $\gamma : \text{Spec}(R'_{n-e}) \rightarrow Y_\infty$ be again the section corresponding to $y_{n-e} \in \text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$. As $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y) = e$, it follows that $\gamma^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty}$ is a R'_{n-e} -module of length e . Hence we can fix an isomorphism of R'_{n-e} -modules

$$(4) \quad j : \gamma^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty} \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^r R'_{e_i}$$

with $e_1, \dots, e_r \in \{0, \dots, n-e\}$ such that $e_1 + \dots + e_r = e - r + 1$. Hence

$$E(S) = \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\oplus_{i=1}^r R'_{e_i}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)),$$

and there is a canonical isomorphism of R'_{n-e} -modules

$$\text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\oplus_{i=1}^r R'_{e_i}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A),$$

as long as A is reduced, which we are assuming. For every i , let l_i be a generator of R'_{e_i} as an R'_{n-e} -module. Then this isomorphism is given by sending an $f \in \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\oplus_{i=1}^r R'_{e_i}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A))$ characterized by the images $f(l_i)$ of the l_i in $\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A)$, to $(f(l_1), \dots, f(l_r))$ in $\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A)$. As we assume that R has equal characteristics, we get, as explain in Section 3.1.2, for every choice of a uniformizer $t \in R' := \mathcal{R}(F)$ that

$$\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A) = \{a_{i1}t^{n-e_i+1} + \dots + a_{ie_i}t^n \mid a_{ij} \in A\},$$

see Formula (2). This determines a functorial bijection

$$i(A) : \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A) \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^r A^{e_i} \cong A^e \cong \text{Hom}_F(F[x_1, \dots, x_e], A).$$

As explained in Example 3.3, one can chose t such that for every $g \in G$ there exists a root of unity $\xi \in k \subset F$, such that the induce automorphism $g_n \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A))$

is given by

$$(5) \quad g_n(a_{i1}t^{n-e_i+1} + \dots + a_{ie_i}t^n) = a_{i1}\xi^{n-e_i+1}t^{n-e_i+1} + \dots + a_{ie_i}\xi^n t^n.$$

Here we need that k contains all roots of unity, and that G is abelian. We fix a t such that Equation (5) holds, and thus an isomorphism $E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}^e$.

The action on E . Note that y_{n-e} , given by a map $i : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$, is mapped to $i \circ g_{\text{Gr}} : \text{Spec}(F) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$ for all $g \in G$. Here g_{Gr} denotes again the automorphism of $\text{Spec}(F)$ corresponding to g . Denote by g_F the corresponding automorphism of F , which induces an automorphism of R'_{n-e} given by

$$g_{F,n-e} : R'_{n-e} = R_{n-e} \otimes_k F \rightarrow R'_{n-e}; \quad r \otimes f \mapsto r \otimes g_F(f).$$

This map is well defined as g_F is a morphism over k . Hence $\gamma : \text{Spec}(R'_{n-e}) \rightarrow Y_\infty$ corresponding to the point y_{n-e} gets mapped to $\gamma \circ \tilde{g}_{F,n-e}$. Here $\tilde{g}_{F,n-e}$ is the automorphism of $\text{Spec}(R'_{n-e})$ induced by $g_{F,n-e}$. So on the level of rings we have, using the concrete construction of the group action on the points of $\text{Gr}_{n-e}(Y_\infty)$, that $\tilde{g}_{n-e}^{-1} \circ \tau \circ g_B = g_{F,n-e} \circ \tau$. One computes that

$$\begin{aligned} R'_{n-e} \otimes_{R'_{n-e}, g_{F,n-e}} R'_{n-e} &= R_{n-e} \otimes_k F \otimes_{R_{n-e} \otimes_k F, \text{id} \otimes g_F} R_{n-e} \otimes_k F \\ &= R_{n-e} \otimes_k F \otimes_{F, g_F} F = R'_{n-e} \otimes_{F, g_F} F, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B, g_{n-e}^{-1} \circ \tau \circ g_B} R'_{n-e} \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F &= \Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B, \tau} R'_{n-e} \otimes_{R'_{n-e}, g_{F,n-e}} F \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F \\ &\cong \Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B, \tau} R'_{n-e}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E(g_{\text{Gr}}(S)) &= \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B, g_{n-e}^{-1} \circ \tau \circ g_B} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\Omega_{B/C} \otimes_{B, \tau} R'_{n-e}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(k) \otimes_k A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{R'_{n-e}}(\oplus_{i=1}^r R'_{e_i}, \mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F)). \end{aligned}$$

In the last line we used again the isomorphism from Equation (4). Note that if the action on $\text{Spec}(F)$ is trivial, we have that $A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F = A$ as modules over F . With this notation, the action of G is given by sending $f \in E(S)$ to $\tilde{f} \in E(g_{\text{Gr}}(S))$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(\omega \otimes r \otimes s) &= g_n^{-1}(f(g_\Omega(\omega) \otimes g_{n-e}(r) \otimes g_F(s))) \otimes 1 \\ &= g_n^{-1}(f(g_\Omega(\omega) \otimes g_{n-e}(r) \otimes 1)) \otimes s \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in G$. Let $l_1, \dots, l_r$ be as before generators of $\gamma^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/X_\infty} \cong \oplus_{i=1}^r R_{e_i}(F)$. Note that $g_\Omega \otimes g_{n-e} \otimes g_F$ sends l_i to $\sum_{j=1}^r c_{ij} l_j$ for some $c_{ij} \in R'_{n-e}$. Using that $f \in E(S)$ is a morphism over R'_{n-e} , f is mapped to $\tilde{f}$ with

$$\tilde{f}(l_i) = g_n^{-1} \circ f \left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_{ij} l_j \right) = g_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r c_{ij} f(l_j) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_n^{-1}(c_{ij}) g_n^{-1}(f(l_j)).$$

Here $\tilde{f}(l_i)$ is an element in $\mathcal{J}_{n-e}^n(A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F)$. To simplify the notation, we do not indicate that. Using the explicit description of $\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A)$ from above, we can write $f(l_j) = m_{j1}t^{n-e_j+1} + \dots + m_{je_j}t^n$ with $m_{jl} \in A$. Here t is the uniformizer of R we fixed before. Moreover we have $c_{ij} = c_{ij0}t^0 + \dots + c_{ijn-e}t^{n-e}$, with $c_{ijk} \in F$. Hence for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we get

$$\tilde{f}(l_i) = g_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-e} c_{ijk} t^k \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{e_j} m_{jl} t^{n-e_j+l} \right) \right).$$

Using that we are actually computing in $\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A)$, we get

$$\tilde{f}(l_i) = g_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{s=1}^{e_i} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{e_j} c_{isjl} m_{jl} \right) t^{n-e_i+s} \right) \right) = g_n^{-1} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{e_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{l=1}^{e_j} c_{isjl} m_{jl} \right) t^{n-e_i+s} \right) \right),$$

where $c_{isjl} := c_{ij(s-l+e_j-e_i)}$ if that is defined by the equation for c_{ij} , and 0 otherwise. Using Equation (5) we get

$$\tilde{f}(l_i) = \sum_{s=1}^{e_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \left(\sum_{l=1}^{e_j} c_{isjl} \xi^{-(n-e_i+s)} m_{jl} \right) t^{n-e_i+s} \right)$$

for some root of unity $\xi \in k \subset F$. Hence $\tilde{c}_{isjl} := c_{isjl} \xi^{-(n-e_i+s)}$ lies in F , and

$$L_{is}(m_{11}, \dots, m_{re_r}) := \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l=1}^{e_j} \tilde{c}_{isjl} m_{jl}$$

defines a linear form over F . Set $L_{e_1+\dots+e_{i-1}+j} := L_{ij}$.

Analogously to $E(S)$, we can now identify $E(g_{\text{Gr}}(S))$ with

$$\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F) \cong (A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F)^e \cong \text{Hom}(F[x_1, \dots, x_e], A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F).$$

Then the induced map on $E(S) = \text{Hom}(F[x_1, \dots, x_e], A)$ sends f with $f(x_i) = a_i$ to

$$\tilde{f} \in E(G_{\text{Gr}}(S)) = \text{Hom}(F[x_1, \dots, x_e], A \otimes_{F, g_F^{-1}} F)$$

with $\tilde{f}(x_i) = L_i(a_1, \dots, a_l)$ for all A . Hence the induced automorphism of $\mathbb{A}_F^e \cong E$ is given on ring level by sending $\sum a_{n_1 \dots n_e} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_e^{n_e} \in F[x_1, \dots, x_e]$ to

$$\sum g_F(a_{n_1 \dots n_e}) L_1(x_1, \dots, x_e)^{n_1} \dots L_e(x_1, \dots, x_e)^{n_e}.$$

One observes that this map is linear over the map on $\text{Spec}(F)$.

Altogether this means that $B_{x_n} \cong \mathbb{A}_F^e$ and the action on it is linear over the action on F , hence B_{x_n} is an affine bundle of rank e with affine G -action. $\square$

Remark 3.17. If G is not abelian, Proposition 3.16 is probably still true, but we need this assumption to get the explicit action of G on $\mathcal{J}_{n-e_i}^n(A)$. The assumption that G is abelian will also be used to prove Lemma 4.7. As we will only consider abelian groups for the applications in Section 6 and Section 7, it seems to be reasonable to restrict to this case.

Remark 3.18. As explained in [NS11a, Section 2.4], Proposition 3.16 can not be shown if R has mixed characteristic, even if the G -action is trivial. If one assumes that F is perfect, one gets Proposition 3.16 without G -action, see [NS11a, Lemma 2.4.4]. In addition to the problems in the non-equivariant case, we need that R has equal characteristic to describe $\mathcal{J}_n^m(A)$ and the action on it explicitly.

4. EQUIVARIANT MOTIVIC INTEGRATION

The aim of this section is to establish motivic integration on formal schemes with an action of a finite group G , which will have values in an equivariant Grothendieck ring of varieties, see Section 4.1. The main result in this section is the change of variables formula for this equivariant motivic integrals, Theorem 4.18.

4.1. The equivariant Grothendieck ring of varieties. Let S be any separated scheme, endowed with a good action of a finite group G .

Definition 4.1. The *equivariant Grothendieck ring of S -varieties* $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$ is defined as follows: as an abelian group, it is generated by isomorphism classes $[X]$ of elements $X \in (\text{Sch}_{S,G})$. These generators are subject to the following relations:

- (1) $[X] = [Y] + [X \setminus Y]$, whenever Y is a closed G -equivariant subscheme of X (scissors relation).
- (2) $[V] = [W]$, whenever $V \rightarrow B$ and $W \rightarrow B$ are two G -equivariant affine bundles of rank r over B with affine G -action, see Definition 3.11.

For all $X, Y \in (\text{Sch}_{S,G})$, set $[X][Y] := [X \times_S Y]$, where the fiber product is taken in $(\text{Sch}_{S,G})$. This product extends bilinearly to $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$ and makes it into a ring.

Let $\mathbb{L}_S$ be the class of the affine line $\mathbb{A}_S^1$ with G -action induced by the action on S , and the trivial action on the affine line. We define $\mathcal{M}_S^G$ as the localization $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)[\mathbb{L}_S^{-1}]$.

For a discussion of the different definitions of the equivariant Grothendieck ring of varieties in the literature, we refer to [Har15b, Chapter 4].

Notation 4.2. If G is the trivial group, we write $K_0(\text{Var}_S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_S$ instead of $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_S^G$, receptively. Note that in this case Relation (2) becomes trivial. If $S = \text{Spec}(A)$, we write $K_0^G(\text{Var}_A)$ for $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$, $\mathbb{L}_A$ for $\mathbb{L}_S$, and $\mathcal{M}_A^G$ for $\mathcal{M}_S^G$. If the base scheme S is clear from the context, we write $\mathbb{L}$ instead of $\mathbb{L}_S$.

Remark 4.3. A morphism of finite groups $G' \rightarrow G$ induces forgetful ring morphisms $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S) \rightarrow K_0^{G'}(\text{Var}_S)$ and $\mathcal{M}_S^G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S^{G'}$. If $G' \rightarrow G$ is surjective, then these morphisms are injections.

Definition 4.4. Let S be a separated scheme with an action of a profinite group

$$\widehat{G} = \varprojlim_{i \in I} G_i$$

factorizing through a good action of some finite quotient G_j . Then we define

$$K_0^{\widehat{G}}(\text{Var}_S) := \varprojlim_{i \in I} K_0^{G_i}(\text{Var}_S) \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_S^{\widehat{G}} := \varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_S^{G_i}.$$

Remark 4.5. Take $X \in (\text{Sch}_{S,G})$, and let $C \subset X$ be a constructable subset, closed under the action of G . Then C defines an element in $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$.

To see this, take a generic point $\eta \in C$, and let $\bar{\eta}$ be its closure in X . As $\eta \in C$, there exists an open $U \subset \bar{\eta}$ containing η such that $U \subset C$. The orbit $G(\bar{\eta})$ of $\bar{\eta}$ is a closed G -invariant subscheme of X . Shrinking U a bit, we may assume that U is also open in $G(\bar{\eta})$. As for all $g \in G$ the induced map on $G(\bar{\eta})$ is an isomorphism, $C_1 := \cup_{g \in G} g(U)$ is open in $G(\bar{\eta})$, hence it defines in particular an element in $K_0^G(\text{Var}_S)$. As C is G -invariant, C_1 is contained in C . Using Noetherian induction on $C \setminus C_1$ the claim follows.

Remark 4.6. Note that the trivial bundle $\mathbb{A}_S^r \times_S B = \mathbb{A}_B^r \rightarrow B$ with the group action induced by that on B and the trivial one on the affine space is an affine bundle of rank r over B with affine G -action. From this it follows with the second relation in the definition of the equivariant Grothendieck ring that for every G -equivariant affine bundle $V \rightarrow B$ of rank r with affine G -action

$$[V] = [B]\mathbb{L}_S^r \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_S).$$

We show now that this formula also holds with less assumptions if G is abelian.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that G is abelian. Take $J, I \in (\text{Sch}_{S,G})$, and let $h : J \rightarrow I$ be a G -equivariant morphism. For all $x \in I$ denote by G_x the stabilizer of x , and by J_x the underlying reduced subscheme of $h^{-1}(x)$, on which we get an induced action of G_x . Assume that for all $x \in I$, J_x is a G_x -equivariant affine bundle of rank e with affine G_x -action. Then*

$$[J] = [I]\mathbb{L}_S^e \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_S).$$

Proof. Take any generic point $\eta = \text{Spec}(F)$ of I . Replace, if necessary, J by its reduced underlying subscheme with induced G -action. We can do this, because it does not change the corresponding class in the Grothendieck ring. Moreover $J^{\text{red}} \times_I \eta$ is equal to the reduced subscheme J_η of $h^{-1}(\eta)$. Let $G_\eta \subset G$ be the stabilizer of η . By assumption J_η is a G_η -equivariant affine bundle of rank e with translation space $E \cong \mathbb{A}_F^e$ and affine G_η -action, i.e. there is a G_η -action on E , which is linear over the action on F , and a G_η -equivariant morphism $\varphi : E \times J_\eta \rightarrow J_\eta$ inducing an isomorphism $\varphi \times p_{J_\eta} : E \times J_\eta \rightarrow J_\eta \times J_\eta$, where p_{J_η} denotes the projection to J_η .

Take a G_η -invariant affine open $U \subset I$ containing η , which exists because the action of G_η on I is good. For all $g \in G_\eta$ with corresponding automorphism g_F of $\text{Spec}(F) = \eta$, the induced map $g'_E : E \rightarrow g_F^*(E)$ is linear over F , hence given by matrices with coefficients in F . So after maybe shrinking U again, we may assume that these matrices give rise to morphisms of vector bundles $g'_U : E_U := E \times_F U \rightarrow g_U^*(E_U)$, where g_U denotes the automorphism of U corresponding to g . By replacing U by $\bigcap_{g \in G} g_U(U)$, we may assume that U is G -invariant. Combining these maps with the projection maps $g_U^*(E_U) \rightarrow E_U$, we get a well defined good G -action on E_U , which is linear over the action on U .

Note that $(E_U \times_U J_U) \times_U \eta = E \times J_\eta$ and $J_U \times_U \eta = J_\eta$. Hence it follows from [Gro66, Theorem 8.8.2] that after maybe restricting U again, there is a unique U -morphism $\varphi_U : E_U \times_U J_U \rightarrow J_U$ such that its restriction to $\eta \in U$ is equal to φ . Again we may assume that U is G -invariant. Using a similar argument for $\varphi \times p_{J_\eta}$ and its inverse, we may assume that $\varphi_U \times p_{J_U} : E_U \times_U J_U \rightarrow J_U \times J_U$ is actually an isomorphism. Here p_{J_U} denotes the projection to J_U .

For $g \in G_\eta$ let $g'_{E_U \times J_U} : E_U \times_U J_U \rightarrow g_U^*(E_U \times_U J_U)$, $g'_{J_U} : J_U \rightarrow g_U^*(J_U)$, $g'_{E \times J_\eta} : E \times J_\eta \rightarrow g_F^*(E \times J_\eta)$, and $g'_{J_\eta} : J_\eta \rightarrow g_F^*(J_\eta)$ be the maps induced by the actions on $E_U \times_U J_U$, J_U , $E \times J_\eta$ and J_η . By [Gro66, Theorem 8.8.2], we can restrict U such that for all $g \in G_\eta$, there is a unique map $E_U \times J_U \rightarrow g_U^*(J_U)$ restricting to $g'_{J_\eta} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ g'_{E \times J_\eta}$. As both $g'_{J_U} \circ \varphi_U$ and $\varphi_U \circ g'_{J_U \times E_U}$ have this property, they are equal, and hence φ_U is G_η -invariant. Altogether J_U is an affine bundle with affine G_η -action and translation space E_U .

We can now restrict U further such that for all $g \in G \setminus G_\eta$, $g(U) \cap U = \emptyset$. Set $V = \bigcup_{g \in G} g(U)$, and let r be the number of connected components $V_i \subset V$. Note that all such V_i are of the form $g_i(U)$ for some $g_i \in G$. For all i we fix such a g_i . Without loss of generality we may assume that $V_1 = U$ and $g_1 = \text{id}$. Consider the vector bundle $f : \tilde{E} := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r E \cong \mathbb{A}_V^e \rightarrow V$ over V . Here for all i the U -scheme E becomes a V_i -scheme using g_i .

For every $g \in G$ denote by g also the corresponding morphisms of J_V and E_U (the last of course only exists if $g \in G_\eta \subset G$). Denote by $\tilde{E}_i = E \times V_i$ the inverse image of V_i in $\tilde{E} \times_V J_V$. We define a morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{E} \times J_V \rightarrow J_V$ by setting $\tilde{\varphi}|_{\tilde{E}_i} = g_i \circ \varphi \circ (\text{id} \times g_i^{-1})$. By construction, the induced map $\tilde{E} \times J_V \rightarrow J_V \times J_V$ is an isomorphism.

For every $g \in G$ with $g(V_i) = V_j$, $gg_i g_j^{-1} \in G_\eta$. Consider the automorphism of $\tilde{E}$ given by sending $c \in f^{-1}(V_i) \cong E_U$ to $gg_i g_j^{-1}(c) \in f^{-1}(V_j) \cong E_U$. Doing so for all $g \in G$, we get a good G -action on $\tilde{E}$. For any $g \in G$ look at the induced map

$g'_E : \tilde{E} \rightarrow g_V^*(\tilde{E})$. If $g(V_i) = V_j$, then we have again that $\tilde{g} := gg_i g_j^{-1} \in G_\eta$, and it is easy to see that

$$g'_E|_{f^{-1}(V_i)} : f^{-1}(V_i) \cong E_U \rightarrow \tilde{g}_V^*(f^{-1}(V_j)) \cong \tilde{g}_U^*(E_U),$$

coincides with the map $\tilde{g}'_{E_U}$, which is a morphism of vector bundles. Thus the action on $\tilde{E}$ is linear over the action on V . Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi} \circ g|_{\tilde{E}_i} &= \tilde{\varphi}|_{\tilde{E}_j} \circ (gg_i g_j^{-1} \times g) = g_j \circ \varphi \circ ((gg_i g_j^{-1}) \times gg_j^{-1}) \\ &= g_j gg_i g_j^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ (\text{id} \times g_i^{-1}) = gg_i \circ \varphi \circ (\text{id} \times g_i^{-1}) = g \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{\tilde{E}_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $gg_i g_j^{-1} \in G_\eta$, that φ_U is G_η -invariant, and that G is actually commutative. This calculation implies that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is G -equivariant. Hence all together we have shown that J_V is a G -equivariant affine bundle of rank e over V with translation space $\tilde{E}$ and affine G -action.

Now we proceed with $I \setminus V$ until by Noetherian induction we found a stratification of I into finitely many locally closed subschemes C_i such that $(h^{-1}(C_i))^{\text{red}} \rightarrow C_i$ is an affine bundle of rank e with affine G -action. Hence by Remark 4.6

$$[J] = [h^{-1}(I)] = \sum [h^{-1}(C_i)] = \sum [(h^{-1}(C_i))^{\text{red}}] = \sum [C_i] \mathbb{L}_S^e = [I] \mathbb{L}_S^e \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_S).$$

□

4.2. Equivariant motivic measure and integrals. Let G be a finite group, acting well on a complete discrete valuation ring R . Take $X_\infty \in (stft/R, G)$, and assume that it has pure relative dimension m over R . Consider the G -actions on $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ and $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ as constructed in Proposition 3.9.

Definition 4.8. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. A subset A of $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is called a *cylinder of degree n* , if there exists a constructable subset C of $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, such that $A = \theta_n^{-1}(C)$. We say that a cylinder A of degree n is *G -stable of degree n* if, moreover, $C = \theta_n(A)$ is closed under the action of G , and for any integer $N \geq n$, the truncation map $(\theta_n^{N+1})^{-1}(C) \rightarrow (\theta_n^N)^{-1}(C)$ is piecewisely a G -equivariant affine bundle of rank m with affine G -action.

Remark 4.9. Assume that X_∞ is smooth over R . Then a cylinder $A \subset \text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ of degree n is G -stable if and only if it is G -invariant. This holds, because by Proposition 3.9 the truncation map θ_n is G -equivariant, so $\theta_n(A)$ is closed under the action of G if and only if A is G -invariant, and by Proposition 3.12 the truncation map $\theta_N^{N+1} : \text{Gr}_{N+1}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_N(X_\infty)$ is a G -equivariant affine bundle of degree m with affine G -action for all $N \geq n$.

For every G -stable cylinder A of degree n , $\theta_n(A)$ is a constructable G -invariant subset of the finite type X_0 -scheme $\text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$, and hence defines an element of $K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})$ by Remark 4.5. This leads us to the following definition.

Definition 4.10. Let $A \subset \text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ be a G -stable cylinder of degree n . Then

$$\mu_{X_0}^G(A) := [\theta_n(A)] \mathbb{L}^{-(n+1)m} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G$$

is the *naive G -equivariant motivic measure* of A on $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$.

Remark 4.11. Note that if A is a G -stable cylinder of degree n , then it is a G -stable cylinder of degree n' , for any $n' \geq n$, because $\theta_n^{n'}$ is G -equivariant. But still $\mu_{X_0}^G$ only depends on A and not on n . This is true, because if we view A as a cylinder of degree n' with $n' \geq n$, then using Remark 4.6 we get

$$[\theta_{n'}(A)] \mathbb{L}^{-(n'+1)m} = [\theta_n(A)] \mathbb{L}^{(n'-n)m} \mathbb{L}^{-(n'+1)m} = [\theta_n(A)] \mathbb{L}^{-(n+1)m} \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0}).$$

Definition 4.12. We call a function $\alpha : \text{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. a map from all points of $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ to $\mathbb{Z}$, *naively G -integrable*, if α takes only a finite number of values, and if $\alpha^{-1}(i)$ is a G -stable cylinder for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, we define the *motivic integral* of α by

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{X_0}^G(\alpha^{-1}(i)) \mathbb{L}^{-i} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

Remark 4.13. It is clear from the definition that $\mu_{X_0}^G$ is additive, i.e. if we can write a G -stable cylinder A as a union $A_1 \cup A_2$ of G -stable cylinders A_i , then

$$\mu_{X_0}^G(A) = \mu_{X_0}^G(A_1) + \mu_{X_0}^G(A_2) - \mu_{X_0}^G(A_1 \cap A_2).$$

It follows that if α and β are naively G -integrable, then $\alpha + \beta$ is naively G -integrable, too, and

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-(\alpha+\beta)} d\mu_{X_0}^G := \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{X_0}^G(\alpha^{-1}(i) \cap \beta^{-1}(j)) \mathbb{L}^{-(i+j)} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

Moreover, if $\{X_\infty^l\}_{l \in L}$ is a finite G -invariant cover of X_∞ by opens, we have that

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subset L} (-1)^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} \int_{\cap_{l \in \mathcal{L}} X_\infty^l} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G.$$

Remark 4.14. As in [Seb04] and [NS07a], one could define a bigger class of G -closed measurable subsets of $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$, endowed with a G -equivariant motivic measure taking values in an appropriate completion of $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G$. We will not need such a construction for our purposes.

4.3. The equivariant change of variables formula. Let G be again a finite group, acting nicely on a discrete valuation ring R . Let furthermore X_∞ and Y_∞ in $(stft/R, G)$ be smooth and of relative dimension m over R , and let $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be a G -equivariant morphism. To simplify the notation, we write h also for the induced maps $\text{Gr}(h) : \text{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ and $\text{Gr}_n(h) : \text{Gr}_n(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$.

Remark 4.15. Let $\alpha : \text{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a naively G -integrable function. Then

$$\alpha \circ h : \text{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is also naively G -integrable. This can be seen as follows: as the image of α is finite, the same holds for the image of $\alpha \circ h$. Moreover for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $A_i := \alpha^{-1}(i) \subset \text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is a G -stable cylinder of degree n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As h is G -equivariant, the same is true for the induced map on the Greenberg schemes, so

$$(\alpha \circ h)^{-1}(i) = h^{-1}(A_i) = \theta_n^{-1}(h^{-1}(\theta_n(A_i))) \subset \text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$$

is G -invariant, and a cylinder, because $h^{-1}(\theta_n(A))$ is constructable due to the fact that it is the inverse image of the constructable set $\theta_n(A)$. So, as Y_∞ is smooth, by Remark 4.9, $(\alpha \circ h)^{-1}(i)$ is G -stable cylinder.

The aim of this section is to compare the motivic integrals of α and $\alpha \circ h$. In the change of variable formula, the difference will be described using the order of the Jacobian of h , see Definition 3.14. Before we proof the change of variable formula, we first need to show the following lemma about the order of the Jacobian.

Lemma 4.16. *The fibers of the function $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h) : \text{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ are G -invariant.*

Proof. Take $g \in G$, and let $g_{X_\infty} \in \text{Aut}(X_\infty)$ and $g_{Y_\infty} \in \text{Aut}(Y_\infty)$ be the corresponding automorphisms. As $Y_\infty, X_\infty \in (stft/R, G)$, the natural maps

$$g_{Y_\infty}^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m \text{ and } g_{X_\infty}^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m$$

are isomorphisms. Hence we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g_{Y_\infty}^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m & \longrightarrow & g_{Y_\infty}^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m \end{array}$$

Here we used that as h is G -equivariant, $h \circ g_{Y_\infty} = g_{X_\infty} \circ h$. Take a closed point in $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ with residue field F , corresponding to an element $\psi \in Y_\infty(R')$ with $R' = \mathcal{R}(F)$. Pulling back all the maps in the commutative diagram with ψ we get that the cokernels of the two maps

$$s_g : \psi^* g_{Y_\infty}^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \psi^* g_{Y_\infty}^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m \text{ and } s : \psi^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow \psi^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m$$

are isomorphic. Recall that the G -action on R induces canonically a G -action on R' . Let $g_{R'} \in \text{Aut}(\text{Spf}(R'))$ be the automorphism corresponding to $g \in G$. Now pulling back s_g via $g_{R'}^{-1}$, we get that the cokernel of s is also isomorphic to the cokernel of

$$\bar{s}_g : g_{R'}^{-1*} \psi^* g_{Y_\infty}^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \rightarrow g_{R'}^{-1*} \psi^* g_{Y_\infty}^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m.$$

Note that $g_{R'}^{-1*} \psi^* g_{Y_\infty}^* = (g_{Y_\infty} \circ \psi \circ g_{R'}^{-1})^*$. Now assume that ψ is corresponding to a point in $J_e := \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)^{-1}(e)$, hence by Remark 3.15 the cokernel of s has length e , so the same holds for the cokernel of $\bar{s}_g$, and the point corresponding to $g \circ \psi \circ g_{R'}^{-1}$ lies in J_e , too. By Remark 3.10, the action of G on $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ maps ψ to $g \circ \psi \circ g_{R'}^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, so J_e is closed under the action of G for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Remark 4.17. Assume that X_∞ is only generically smooth. Take a point y in $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$ corresponding to $\psi \in Y_\infty(R')$. Then by Remark 3.15, $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)(y)$ is given by the length of the cokernel of $s : \psi^* h^* \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m / (\text{torsion}) \rightarrow \psi^* \Omega_{Y_\infty/R}^m$. Hence dividing out torsion in the proof above gives us a proof of Lemma 4.16 in the case that X_∞ is only generically smooth.

Now we are ready to state and proof the change of variables formula for equivariant motivic integrals. The main ingredient of the proof is Proposition 3.16. To be able to use it, we need to put some extra assumptions on G and R .

Theorem 4.18 (Equivariant change of variables formula). *Assume that G is a finite abelian group, and acts tamely on a complete discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic R , whose residue field contains all roots of unity. Let X_∞, Y_∞ in $(\text{stft}/R, G)$ be smooth and of pure dimension over R , and let $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be a G -equivariant morphism, such that $h_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ is an open immersion, and the induced map $Y_\eta(K') \rightarrow X_\eta(K')$ is a bijection for all unramified extensions K' of K , the quotient field of R .*

If α is a naively G -integrable function on $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$, then $\alpha \circ h + \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ is naively G -integrable on $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty)$, and

$$\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G = \int_{Y_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-(\alpha \circ h + \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h))} d\mu_{Y_0}^G \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

Proof. By Remark 4.15, $\alpha \circ h$ is naively G -integrable. As h_η is an open immersion, by [CLNS16, Chapter 4, 3.2.4] $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ is constant on $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty^i)$ for every connected component Y_∞^i of Y_∞ . Hence for all $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $J_e := \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)^{-1}(e)$ is the union of the $\text{Gr}(Y_\infty^i)$ such that $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)|_{\text{Gr}(Y_\infty^i)}$ has value e , which are by construction cylinders of degree 0. By Lemma 4.16, J_e is G -invariant, hence, as Y_∞ is smooth, by Remark 4.9 a G -stable cylinder, so $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ is naively G -integrable. By Remark 4.13 the same holds also for the sum of the two considered functions.

Set $A_i := \alpha^{-1}(i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $h^{-1}(A_i) \cap J_e$ is a G -invariant cylinder. As the map $h : \mathrm{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is G -equivariant, $h(h^{-1}(A_i) \cap J_e) = A_i \cap h(J_e)$ is G -closed. By [Seb04, 7.2.2] it is a cylinder, hence using Remark 4.9 it is a G -stable cylinder. Now consider

$$h : \theta_n(h^{-1}(A_i) \cap J_e) \rightarrow \theta_n(A_i \cap h(J_e))$$

for some $n \geq 2e$. For every point $x_n \in \theta_n(A_i \cap h(J_e))$ with stabilizer G_x , the induced map $h : (h^{-1}(x_n))^{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow x_n$ is a G_x -equivariant affine bundle of rank e with affine G_x -action, see Proposition 3.16. Hence by Lemma 4.7,

$$[\theta_n(h^{-1}(A_i) \cap J_e)] = [\theta_n(A_i \cap h(J_e))] \mathbb{L}^e \in K_0^G(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0}).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-(\alpha \circ h + \mathrm{ord}(\mathrm{Jac}_h))} d\mu_{X_0}^G &= \sum_{i, e \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{X_0}^G(h^{-1}(A_i) \cap J_e) \mathbb{L}^{-(i+e)} \\ &= \sum_{i, e \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{X_0}^G(A_i \cap h(J_e)) \mathbb{L}^e \mathbb{L}^{-(i+e)} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{X_0}^G(A_i) \mathbb{L}^{-i} = \int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_{X_0}^G. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used that if $Y_\eta(K') \rightarrow X_\eta(K')$ is a bijection for every unramified extension K'/K , then the map $h : \mathrm{Gr}(Y_\infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty)$ is a bijection, too, see [NS11a, Lemma 2.4.1]. Hence $h(J_e) \cap h(J_j)$ is empty for $e \neq j$, and

$$\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} h(J_e) = h\left(\bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} J_e\right) = h(\mathrm{Gr}(Y_\infty)) = \mathrm{Gr}(X_\infty).$$

□

Remark 4.19. If R has unequal characteristic, by Remark 3.18 we do not get Proposition 3.16 in the usual Grothendieck ring, even in the non-equivariant case. Still it might be possible to have a similar result in the some modified equivariant Grothendieck ring, where we divide out purely inseparable maps.

5. GROUP ACTIONS ON WEAK NÉRON MODELS

In order to be able to define and compute the equivariant integral of a gauge form of a possibly non-smooth formal scheme with group action in Section 6, we will make use of weak Néron models with group actions, which will be studied in this section.

5.1. Equivariant Néron smoothenings. Let G be a finite group, fix a nice G -action on a complete discrete valuation ring R , and take $X_\infty \in (stft/R, G)$ flat over R . Denote by X_η the generic fiber in the category of rigid varieties.

Definition 5.1 ([BS95]). A *weak Néron R -model for X_η* is a smooth formal scheme $U_\infty \in (stft/R)$, whose generic fiber is an open rigid subspace of X_η , and which has the property that the natural maps $U_\infty(R') \rightarrow X_\eta(K')$ are bijective for any finite unramified extension K' of K , where R' denotes the normalization of R in K' .

Definition 5.2. We say that a morphism $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ in $(stft/R, G)$ is a *G -equivariant Néron R -smoothening for X_∞* , if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) there exists a morphism $X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ in $(stft/R, G)$, inducing an isomorphism $X'_\eta \rightarrow X_\eta$ on the generic fibers, such that f factors through a G -equivariant open immersion $U_\infty \hookrightarrow X'_\infty$,
- (2) U_∞ is a weak Néron R -model for X_η .

Note that $U_\infty = \text{Sm}(X'_\infty)$, since any closed point of the special fiber of $\text{Sm}(X'_\infty)$ lifts to a section in $X'_\infty(R')$ for some finite unramified extension R' of R . The action of G on X'_∞ automatically restricts to $\text{Sm}(X'_\infty)$, because smooth points are mapped to smooth points by automorphisms.

Remark 5.3. Note that a G -equivariant Néron smoothing is in general not unique. If we have a G -equivariant Néron smoothing $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ of X_∞ given by $X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$, then blowing up X'_∞ in the orbit of a closed point in the image of the special fiber of U_∞ gives rise to a different G -equivariant Néron smoothing of X_∞ .

Theorem 5.4. *Every generically smooth, flat formal scheme $X_\infty \in (\text{sftf}/R, G)$ admits a G -equivariant Néron smoothing.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be any ideal sheaf on X_∞ , which contains the uniformizing parameter t of R and is closed under the action of G . Let $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be the formal blow-up of X_∞ at $\mathcal{I}$. Fix $g \in G$, and denote by g also the corresponding automorphism of X_∞ . Then by flat base change for formal blow-ups, see [Nic09, Proposition 2.16], we get a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X''_\infty & \xrightarrow{g'} & X'_\infty \\ h' \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ X_\infty & \xrightarrow{g} & X_\infty \end{array}$$

where h' is the formal blow-up of X_∞ at $g^*\mathcal{I}$. Since $g^*\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}$ by assumption, $X''_\infty = X'_\infty$ and $h = h'$, hence we have a natural morphism $g' : X'_\infty \rightarrow X'_\infty$ lying over g . Doing so for every $g \in G$, this defines an action of G on X'_∞ such that h is G -equivariant. The fact that this action is good follows, because h is projective.

By [BS95, §3, Theorem 3.1] every quasi-compact formal R -scheme, hence in particular every stft formal R -scheme, admits a Néron smoothing by means of admissible blow-ups, i.e. by formal blow-ups with center in the special fiber of G -closed ideal sheaves. From the argument above it follows that it suffices to show that these ideal sheaves are G -closed. The canonical smoothing for the algebraic case constructed in [BLR90, §3, Theorem 2] is given by a sequence of blow-ups in G -closed ideal sheaves, which was shown in [Har15a, Lemma 2.10]. As the construction of the ideal sheaves in the formal setting, see [BS95, §3, Lemma 3.4], works completely analogously, the same proof can be used in the formal setting. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Take $X_\infty \in (\text{sftf}/R, G)$, and let $f_i : U_\infty^i \rightarrow X_\infty$, $i \in \{1; 2\}$, be two G -equivariant Néron R -smoothings of X_∞ . Then there is a third G -equivariant Néron R -smoothing $h : V_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$, and two G -equivariant maps $h_i : V_\infty \rightarrow U_\infty^i$ with $f_i \circ h_i = h$, which are generically open immersions.*

Proof. Let $f'_i : X_\infty^{i'} \rightarrow X_\infty$ be the G -equivariant morphism one gets from the definition of a G -equivariant Néron smoothing, i.e. the f'_i induce isomorphisms on the generic fibers, and there are G -equivariant immersion $i_i : U_\infty^i \rightarrow X_\infty^{i'}$ such that $f_i = f'_i \circ i_i$. Take $\tilde{Y}_\infty = X_\infty^{1'} \times_{X_\infty} X_\infty^{2'}$ in $(\text{sftf}/R, G)$. Let $V_\infty \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_\infty$ be a G -equivariant Néron smoothing of $\tilde{Y}_\infty$, which exists due to Theorem 5.4. Since by [BL93, Corollary 4.6] the fiber product commutes with taking generic fibers, $\tilde{Y}_\eta \cong X_\eta$, which implies that the induced map $h : V_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ is a G -equivariant Néron smoothing of X_∞ .

We still have to show that $h'_i : V_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty^{i'}$ factors through U_∞^i . If yes, then by construction it is automatically an open immersion on the generic fiber. Hence assume that there is no such factorization, hence $V_\infty \setminus h_i'^{-1}(U_\infty^i)$ is not the empty formal scheme. Then there is in particular a closed point in the special fiber of V_∞

with residue field F not mapped to U_∞^i . As V_∞ is by assumption smooth over R , this point extends to a R' -point of V_∞ . Here R' is an unramified extension of R with residue field F . Hence we have a R' -point of X_∞ and hence a K' -point of X_η not coming from an R' -point of U_∞^i . This contradicts to the assumption that U_∞^i is a weak Néron model of X_∞ . $\square$

5.2. Equivariant weak Néron models for ramifications. The aim of this subsection is to explicitly construct equivariant Néron smoothenings for some ramification models. They will be used to explicitly compute equivariant Poincaré series in Section 6.5, and to compare them with Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function in Section 7.3.

Throughout this subsection, we assume that R is a complete discrete valuation ring of equicharacteristic zero, and that its residue field contains all roots of unity. If we do not assume that k contains all roots of unity, then we need to consider actions of group schemes instead of abstract groups. In order to keep everything as simple as possible, we do not consider this case. Moreover, we fix a regular *stft* formal R -scheme X_∞ , whose special fiber X_0 is a simple normal crossing divisor $\sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$ with $I = \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Notation 5.6. Let $D = \sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$ be a simple normal crossing divisor. For any subset $J \subset I$, we consider the non-singular varieties

$$E_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} E_j, \text{ and } E_J^o := E_J \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} E_i.$$

If $J = \{i\}$, we set $E_i^o := E_i$. Set moreover $m_J := \gcd\{N_i \mid i \in J\}$.

Definition 5.7 ([NS07b], Chapter 4). For each non-empty subset $J \subset I$, we can cover $E_J^o \subset X_\infty$ by finitely many affine open formal subschemes $U_\infty = \text{Spf}(V)$ of X_∞ , such that on U_∞ , $t = u \prod_{i \in J} x_i^{N_i}$, with t a uniformizing parameter of R , u a unit in V , and the x_i are local coordinates. The restrictions over E_J^o of the étale covers $U'_\infty := \text{Spf}(V\{T\}/(uT^{m_J} - 1))$ of U_∞ glue together to an étale cover $\tilde{E}_J^o$ of E_J^o .

Let μ_{m_J} be the abstract group of m_J -th roots of unity. This group acts on U'_∞ by sending T to ξT for every $\xi \in \mu_d$. Note that these actions glue to a good action of μ_{m_J} on $\tilde{E}_J^o$.

Take any integer d such that m_J divides d , and let μ_d be the group of d -th roots of unity. Then the quotient map $\mu_d \rightarrow \mu_{m_J}$ defines an action of μ_d on $\tilde{E}_J^o$. It is explicitly given by sending T to $\xi^{\frac{d}{m_J}} T$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$.

Remark 5.8. Let X be a smooth variety over a field k of characteristic 0, and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a non-constant morphism of k -varieties. Assume that $X_0 := f^{-1}(0)$ is a simple normal crossing divisor $\sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$. Take $J \subset I$, and let μ_{m_J} be again the group of m_J -th roots of unity.

In this setting, [DL01, 3.3] introduce an unramified Galois cover $\tilde{E}_J^o$ of E_J^o with Galois group μ_{m_J} as follows: E_J^o can be covered by such affine open subset U of X , such that, on U , $f = uv^{m_J}$, with u a unit on U and v a morphism from U to $\mathbb{A}_k^1$. Then the restriction of $\tilde{E}_J^o$ above $E_J^o \cap U$, denoted by $\tilde{E}_J^o \cap U$, is defined as

$$\{(z, y) \in \mathbb{A}_k^1 \times (E_J^o \cap U) \mid z^{m_J} = u^{-1}\}.$$

Gluing together the $\tilde{E}_J^o \cap U$ in the obvious way, we obtain the cover $\tilde{E}_J^o$ of E_J^o , which has a natural μ_{m_J} -action (obtained by multiplying the z -coordinate with the elements of μ_{m_J}).

It is easy to see that if X_∞ is the formal completion of X at X_0 , then this definition of $\tilde{E}_J^o$ agrees with Definition 5.7.

Definition 5.9. Let J be a non-empty subset of I . We say that an integer $d \geq 1$ is J -linear if there exists for every $j \in J$ an integer $\alpha_j \geq 1$ such that $d = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j N_j$. We say that d is X_0 -linear if there exists a non-empty subset $J \subset I$ with $|J| > 1$ and $E_J^o \neq \emptyset$, such that d is J -linear.

Let us fix some more notation: let $d > 0$ be an integer, and denote by $R(d)$ a finite totally ramified extension of R , on which $\text{Gal}(K(d)/K) \cong \mu_d$ acts nicely, see Example 2.1. Here μ_d is again the abstract group of d -th roots of unity. Moreover, consider $X_\infty(d) := X_\infty \times_R R(d) \in (\text{stft}/R(d), G)$ as in Example 2.2.

Furthermore, denote by $n : X_\infty(d) \rightarrow X_\infty(d)$ the normalization of the formal scheme $X_\infty(d)$, see [Con99, Chapter 2.1], and set

$$\widetilde{E}(d)_i^o := E_i^o \times_{X_\infty(d)} \widetilde{X_\infty(d)}.$$

We now describe explicitly the μ_d -equivariant Néron smoothening for $X_\infty(d)$, in the case that X_0 is not d -linear. This theorem was already proved without group actions in [NS07b, Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 5.10. *There is a unique good μ_d -action on $\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}$ such that n is μ_d -equivariant. If d is not X_0 -linear, then*

$$n : \text{Sm}(\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}) \rightarrow X_\infty(d)$$

is a μ_d -equivariant Néron R -smoothening of $X_\infty(d)$. Moreover,

$$\text{Sm}(\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}) \times_R k = \bigsqcup_{N_i|d} \widetilde{E}(d)_i^o.$$

For every $i \in I$ with $N_i|d$, $\widetilde{E}(d)_i^o$ is μ_d -closed, and there exists a μ_d -equivariant isomorphism $\widetilde{E}(d)_i^o \rightarrow \widetilde{E}_i^o$ over E_i^o .

Proof. Take any $\xi \in \mu_d$, and denote by ξ also the corresponding automorphism of $X_\infty(d)$. Then $\xi \circ n : X_\infty(d) \rightarrow X_\infty(d)$ is also a normalization of $X_\infty(d)$ by [Con99, Theorem 2.1.2]. Hence by the universal property of a normalization we get a unique induced automorphism ξ' of $\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}$ with $n \circ \xi' = \xi \circ n$. Doing so for every $\xi \in \mu_d$, we get a unique μ_d -action on $\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}$ such that n is μ_d -equivariant. This action is good, because inverse images under the normalization morphism of affine open subsets are affine by construction of the normalization.

As the action of μ_d on $\widetilde{X_\infty(d)}$ restricts to $\text{Sm}(\widetilde{X_\infty(d)})$, it follows together with [NS07b, Theorem 4.5] that h is in fact a μ_d -equivariant Néron smoothening. Also the decomposition of the special fiber of $\text{Sm}(\widetilde{X_\infty(d)})$ was already proved there.

Denote by $\pi : X_\infty(d) \rightarrow X_\infty$ the projection map, which is μ_d -equivariant for the Galois action on $X_\infty(d)$ and the trivial action on X_∞ . Now $\widetilde{E}(d)_i^o = (\pi \circ n)^{-1}(E_i^o)$, and hence it is as inverse of a μ_d -invariant subscheme μ_d -invariant.

For any $i \in I$ with $N_i|d$, we can cover E_i^o by affine open formal subschemes $U_\infty = \text{Spf}(V)$ such that on U_∞ , we can write $t = ux_i^{N_i}$, with u a unit, and x_i local coordinates. It was shown in the proof of [NS07b, Lemma 4.4] that $\widetilde{U_\infty(d)}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{U_\infty(d)} = \text{Spf}(V(d)\{T\}/(t(d)^{d/N_i}T - x_i, uT^{N_i} - 1)),$$

where $V(d)$ denotes $V \otimes_R R(d)$, and $t(d)$ is a uniformizing parameter of $R(d)$. Let μ_d act on $\widetilde{U_\infty(d)}$ by sending $t(d)$ to $\xi t(d)$ and T to $\xi^{-d/N_i}T$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$. One can easily check that this is well defined. Moreover $\widetilde{U_\infty(d)} \rightarrow \text{Spf}(V(d))$ is μ_d -equivariant, because the action on $V(d) = V \otimes_R R(d)$ is given by sending $t(d)$ to

$\xi t(d)$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$. Using the uniqueness of the μ_d -action on $\widetilde{X_\infty}(d)$, this coincides with the action constructed above.

Now restrict the action to $\widetilde{U}(d)_\infty \times_R k \cong \text{Spec}(V[T]/(x_i, uT^{N_i} - 1))$. It is given by sending T to $\xi^{-d/N_i}T$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$.

Now $U_0 := U_\infty \times_R \text{Spec}(k) = \text{Spec}(V/(t))$, and as E_i^o is reduced and $u^{-1}t = x_{i-}^{N_i}$, the restriction of E_i^o to U_0 is $\text{Spec}(V/(x_i))$. This implies that the restriction of $\tilde{E}_i^o$ to U is given by $\text{Spec}(V[T]/(x_i, uT^{N_i} - 1))$, on which μ_d acts by sending T to $\xi^{\frac{d}{N_i}}T$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$, see Definition 5.7. Hence in particular there exists a μ_d -equivariant isomorphism $\tilde{E}(d)_i^o \cong \tilde{E}_i^o$ over E_i^o . $\square$

To get rid of the assumption that d is not X_0 -linear later on, we will need the following technical lemma. This lemma was already proved in [NS07b, Lemma 7.5] without group action. To make the two results comparable, we stick to the notation in [NS07b].

Lemma 5.11. *Let $J \subset I$ with $|J| > 1$, and let $\pi_X : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ the formal blow-up with center E_J . Denote the exceptional divisor by E'_0 , and the strict transform of E_i by E'_i for all $i \in I$. Then for each subset $K \subset I$ with $J \setminus K \neq \emptyset$ we have*

$$(6) \quad [\tilde{E}'_{K \cup \{0\}}] = (\mathbb{L}_{X_0} - [X_0])^{|J \setminus K| - 1} [\tilde{E}'_{J \cup K}] \in K_0^{\mu_{m_{K \cup \{0\}}}}(\text{Var}_{X_0}).$$

Proof. To simplify notation, set $\mu := \mu_{m_{K \cup \{0\}}}$. To prove the lemma, we go along the lines of [NS07b, Lemma 7.5] to examine the actions of μ . As we will be in particular interested in fibers over points in $\tilde{E}'_{J \cup K}$, we can replace X_∞ by affine opens U_∞ such that $t = u \prod_{j \in J \cup K} x_j^{N_j}$, with u a unit, and the x_j defining the E_J . As $J \setminus K \neq \emptyset$, we may assume that $x_1 \in J \setminus K$. Set $J^- := J \setminus \{1\}$, and set $x'_j = x_j/x_1$ for $j \in J^-$, and $x'_j = x_j$ for $j \in \{1\} \cup K \setminus J^-$. Then we can write

$$t = \pi_X^*(u)(x'_1)^{N_0} \prod_{j \in J^- \cup K} (x'_j)^{N_j}$$

on $X'_\infty \setminus E'_1$. Here $N_0 := \sum_{i \in J} N_i$. With this notation we get as in [NS07b] that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}'_{J \cup K} &= E'_{J \cup K}[v]/(uv^{m_{J \cup K}} - 1), \\ G &:= \tilde{E}'_{J \cup K} \times_{E'_{J \cup K}} E'_{K \cup \{0\}} = E'_{K \cup \{0\}}[\omega]/(\pi_X^*(u)\omega^{m_{J \cup K}} - 1), \text{ and} \\ \tilde{E}'_{K \cup \{0\}} &= E'_{K \cup \{0\}}[z]/(\pi_X^*(u) \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} z^{m_{K \cup \{0\}}} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify notation, set from now on $m := m_{J \cup K}$ and $n := m_{K \cup \{0\}}$. Using Definition 5.7 we get that on $\tilde{E}'_{J \cup K}$, μ_m acts by multiplying v with the elements of μ_m , and on $\tilde{E}'_{K \cup \{0\}}$, μ acts by multiplying z with elements of μ . Moreover, μ_m acts on G by multiplying ω with the elements in μ_m . The projection map from G to $\tilde{E}'_{J \cup K}$ is equivariant with this μ_m -actions. As $m = \gcd\{N_j \mid j \in J \cup K\}$ divides $n = \gcd\{\sum_{i \in J} N_i, N_j \mid j \in K\}$, we can view the μ_m -actions as μ -action. This is done by sending $\xi \in \mu$ to $\xi^{\frac{n}{m}} \in \mu_m$.

Now we can define an étale morphism φ from $\tilde{E}'_{K \cup \{0\}}$ to G given by

$$\omega \mapsto z^{\frac{n}{m}} \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (x'_j)^{\frac{N_j}{m}}.$$

One checks easily that φ is equivariant with the given μ -actions. Hence we get a μ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\tilde{E}'_{K \cup \{0\}} \cong G[z]/(z^{\frac{n}{m}} - \omega \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (x'_j)^{-\frac{N_j}{m}}).$$

Now take any $x \in \tilde{E}_{J \cup K}^o$ with residue field $k(x)$. Then as shown in [NS07b, Lemma 7.5] the fiber E_x over x in $\tilde{E}_{K \cup \{0\}}^o$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Spec}(k(x)[z, x'_j, x'^{-1}_j]_{j \in J^- \setminus K} / (z^{\frac{n}{m}} - \omega(x) \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (x'_j)^{-\frac{N_j}{m}})).$$

Here $\omega(x)$ is the value of the function ω in $k(x)^\times$. Consider the stabilizer μ_x of x , which is a subgroup of μ . Note that μ_x might act non-trivially on $k(x)$. As the map $\tilde{E}_{K \cup \{0\}}^o \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{J \cup K}^o$ is μ -equivariant and hence μ_x -invariant, μ_x acts on E_x . This action is given by sending z to ξz and $\omega(x)$ to $\xi^{-\frac{n}{m}} \omega(x)$ for all $\xi \in \mu_x \subset \mu$. The action on $k(x)$ agrees with the action on $x = \mathrm{Spec}(k(x))$.

We can now describe E_x using [NS07b, Lemma 7.4]: by Bézout there exist α and β_i such that $\alpha \frac{n}{m} - \sum_{j \in J^- \setminus K} \beta_j \frac{N_j}{m} = 1$. So we get

$$\omega(x)^{-\alpha \frac{n}{m}} (z^{\frac{n}{m}} - \omega(x) \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (x'_j)^{-\frac{N_j}{m}}) = (z \omega(x)^{-\alpha})^{\frac{n}{m}} - \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (x'_j \omega(x)^{\beta_j})^{-\frac{N_j}{m}},$$

hence we can replace z by $y := \omega(x)^{-\alpha} z$ and x'_j by $y_j := \omega(x)^{\beta_j} x'_j$. Hence we get

$$E_x = \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)[y, y^{-1}, y_j, y_j^{-1}]_{j \in J^- \setminus K} / (1 - y^{\frac{n}{m}} \prod_{j \in J^- \setminus K} (y_j)^{-\frac{N_j}{m}})),$$

and the action of μ_x is given by sending y to $\xi^{-\frac{n}{m} \alpha} y$ and y_i to $\xi^{\frac{n}{m} \beta_i} y_i$ for all $\xi \in \mu_x$. As shown in [NS07b, Lemma 7.4], a change of variables gives us

$$E_x = \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)[\tilde{y}_j, \tilde{y}_j^{-1}]_{j \in J^- \setminus K}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\bigotimes_{j \in J^- \setminus K} k(x)[\tilde{y}_j, \tilde{y}_j^{-1}]),$$

with $y_j = y^{a_j} \prod_{i \in J^- \setminus K} y_j^{a_{ij}}$ for some $a_j, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. One computes that the action of μ_x is given by sending $\tilde{y}_i$ to $\xi^{n_i} \tilde{y}_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $\xi \in \mu_x$. Altogether $E_x \cong \mathbb{A}_{k(x)}^{|J^- \setminus K|} \setminus \{0\}$, and the action on E_x is the restriction of a linear action on $\mathbb{A}_{k(x)}^{|J^- \setminus K|}$. With a proof analogous to that in Lemma 4.7 it follows that

$$[\tilde{E}_{K \cup \{0\}}^o] = (\mathbb{L}_{X_0} - [X_0])^{|J^- \setminus K|} [\tilde{E}_{J \cup K}^o] \in K_0^\mu(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0}),$$

and hence the claim, because $|J^- \setminus K| = |J \setminus K|$. $\square$

6. THE EQUIVARIANT VOLUME POINCARÉ SERIES

The aim of this section to give definitions of equivariant versions of the integral of a gauge form, [LS03, Theorem-Definition 4.1.2], the motivic Serre invariant, [LS03, Section 4], the volume Poincaré series and the Serre Poincaré series, [NS07b, Definition 7.2 and Definition 7.3]. In Section 6.5 we give formulas for the equivariant volume Poincaré series and the equivariant Serre Poincaré series, which imply in particular that these series are rational functions.

Throughout this section, let R be a complete discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic, whose residue field k contains all roots of unity. Let G be a finite abelian group, acting nicely on R . Assume moreover that the action of G on R is tame. These assumption will allow us to use the change of variables formula, Theorem 4.18.

6.1. The order of a G -closed gauge form. Suppose that X_∞ is an *stft* formal R -scheme of pure relative dimension m , generically smooth, and that ω is a global section of $\Omega_{X_\infty/K}^m$, i.e. a *gauge form* on X_η . As $\Omega_{X_\eta/K}^m \cong \Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m \otimes_R K$, see [BLR95, 1.5], and X_∞ is quasi-compact, we can find an integer $a \geq 0$ such that $t^a \omega$, with t a uniformizer of R , extends to a global section ω' of $\Omega_{X_\infty/R}^m$. Fix such ω' and a .

Definition 6.1 ([NS07b], Definition 6.3). Let R' be an extension of R of ramification index one, and ψ a section in $X_\infty(R')$. The module $M := \psi^* \Omega_{X_\infty}^m / (\text{torsion})$ is a free R' -module of rank one. We define $\text{ord}(\omega')(\psi)$ as the length of the R' -module $M/R'(\psi^* \omega')$, and set $\text{ord}(\omega)(\psi) := \text{ord}(\omega')(\psi) - a$. This definition does not depend on ω' and a . Identifying points of $\text{Gr}(X_\infty)$ with sections $\psi \in X_\infty(R')$ for some unramified extension R' of R , we obtain a map $\text{ord}(\omega) : \text{Gr}(X_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 6.2. We say that a gauge form ω is G -closed if the fibers of the map $\text{ord}(\omega)$ are G -closed sets.

Lemma 6.3. Take $X_\infty, Y_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R, G)$ be of pure relative dimension m . Assume that Y_∞ is smooth and X_∞ is generically smooth. Let $h : Y_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be a G -equivariant morphism. If a gauge form ω on X_η is G -closed, then $h^* \omega$ is a G -closed gauge form on Y_η .

Proof. By [NS07b, Lemma 6.4], $\text{ord}(h^* \omega) = \text{ord}(\omega) \circ h + \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$. By Lemma 4.16 and Remark 4.17, all fibers of $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ are G -closed. As the fibers of $\text{ord}(\omega)$ are G -closed by assumption, the same holds for $\text{ord}(\omega) \circ h$, because h is G -equivariant. Hence also the fibers of the sum, and hence of $\text{ord}(h^* \omega)$, are G -closed sets. $\square$

6.2. The equivariant integral of a gauge form. We are now going to investigate the existence of a G -equivariant Néron smoothening, Theorem 5.4, to define the equivariant integral of a global gauge form.

Theorem-Definition 6.4. Let $X_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R, G)$ be generically smooth, flat, and of pure relative dimension over R , and let ω be a G -closed gauge form on X_η . We set

$$\int_{X_\infty} |\omega| := \int_{U_\infty} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-\text{ord}(f^* \omega)} d\mu_{X_0} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G,$$

where $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ is any G -equivariant Néron smoothening of X_∞ . This integral is well defined, in particular it does not depend on the choice of f .

Proof. By Theorem 5.4, we know that there exists a G -equivariant Néron smoothening $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$. By Lemma 6.3, $f^* \omega$ is G -closed, i.e. the fibers of $\text{ord}(f^* \omega)$ are G -closed. It follows from [NS11a, Proposition 2.3.8] that $\text{ord}(f^* \omega)$ takes only finitely many values and its fibers are cylinders. As U_∞ is smooth, Remark 4.9 implies that $\text{ord}(f^* \omega)$ is naively G -integrable, so $\int_{U_\infty} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-\text{ord}(f^* \omega)} d\mu_{X_0}$ is well defined.

Recall that a G -equivariant Néron smoothening is not unique in general, see Remark 5.3. Hence we still need to show that the definition does not depend on the Néron smoothening. Take two G -equivariant Néron smoothenings $f_i : U_\infty^i \rightarrow X_\infty$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. By Corollary 5.5, we may assume that there is a G -equivariant map $h : U_\infty^2 \rightarrow U_\infty^1$, which is generically an open immersion, such that $f_1 \circ h = f_2$. As both U_∞^1 and U_∞^2 are weak Néron models of X_∞ , the induced map $U_\eta^2(K') \rightarrow U_\eta^1(K')$ is a bijection for every unramified extension K'/K . Hence we can apply the change of variables formula, Theorem 4.18, to h . Recall moreover that by [NS07b, Lemma 6.4] $\text{ord}(f_2^* \omega) = \text{ord}(h^* f_1^* \omega) = \text{ord}(f_1^* \omega) \circ h + \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$. Hence altogether we get that

$$\int_{U_\infty^1} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-\text{ord}(f_1^* \omega)} d\mu_{X_0} = \int_{U_\infty^2} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-(\text{ord}(f_1^* \omega) \circ h + \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h))} d\mu_{X_0} = \int_{U_\infty^2} \mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-\text{ord}(f_2^* \omega)} d\mu_{X_0}.$$

$\square$

6.3. The equivariant motivic Serre invariant.

Theorem-Definition 6.5. Let $X_\infty \in (\text{stft}/R, G)$ be generically smooth, flat and of pure dimension m over R . We define the equivariant motivic Serre invariant

$S^G(X_\infty)$ of X_∞ by

$$S^G(X_\infty) := [U_0] \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1),$$

where $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ is any G -equivariant Néron smoothening of X_∞ , and U_0 is the special fiber of U_∞ . This definition does not depend on the choice of f .

Proof. Take two G -equivariant Néron smoothenings $f_i : U_\infty^i \rightarrow X_\infty$, $i \in \{1; 2\}$. By Corollary 5.5, we may assume that there is a G -equivariant map $h : U_\infty^2 \rightarrow U_\infty^1$, which is generically an open immersion, such that $f_1 \circ h = f_2$. As both U_∞^1 and U_∞^2 are weak Néron models of X_∞ , the induced map $U_\eta^2(K') \rightarrow U_\eta^1(K')$ is a bijection for every unramified extension K'/K . This implies in particular that the induced map $h : \text{Gr}(U_\infty^2) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(U_\infty^1)$ is a bijection, and hence $h : \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n(X_\infty)$ is a surjection for all n .

Let $n \geq 2 \max\{\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)\}$, which exists, because, as shown in the proof of Theorem 4.18, $\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ is naively G -integrable. Set $J_e := \theta_n(\text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)^{-1}(e))$ for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Take any $x_n \in h(J_e) \subset \text{Gr}_n(U_\infty^1)$ with stabilizer G_x . Then it follows from Proposition 3.16 that $(h^{-1}(x_n))^{\text{red}}$ is a G_x -equivariant affine bundle of rank e over x_n with affine G_x -action. Hence by Lemma 4.7

$$[J_e] = [h(J_e)]\mathbb{L}_{X_0}^e \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0}).$$

As U_∞^1 and U_∞^2 are smooth, we can use Proposition 3.12 to get that

$$[U_0^i] = [\text{Gr}_0(U_\infty^i)] = [\text{Gr}_n(U_\infty^i)]\mathbb{L}_{X_0}^{-nm} \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0}).$$

Now we set $\mathbb{L}$ equal to $1 = [X_0]$ in $K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})$, and get

$$[U_0^1] = [\text{Gr}_n(U_\infty^1)] = \sum [J_e] = \sum [h(J_e)] = [\text{Gr}_n(U_\infty^2)] = [U_0^2] \in K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1).$$

□

Remark 6.6. Assume that X_η admits a G -closed global gauge form ω , and let $f : U_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ be a G -equivariant Néron smoothening of X_∞ . By [LS03, 4.3.1] the function $\text{ord}(f^*\omega)$ is constant on $\theta_0^{-1}(D)$ for every connected component D of U_0 . As $\text{ord}(f^*\omega)$ is G -closed, this implies that it is constant with value $\text{ord}_C(f^*\omega)$ on the G -stable cylinder $\theta_0^{-1}(C)$, where C is the orbit of D . Denote by $GC(U_0)$ the set of orbits on the connected components of U_0 . With this notation we get that

$$\int_{X_\infty} |\omega| = \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{C \in GC(U_0)} [C] \mathbb{L}^{-\text{ord}_C(f^*\omega)} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G.$$

Hence $S^G(X_\infty)$ is the image of $\int_{X_\infty} |\omega|$ under the projection morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^G/(\mathbb{L} - 1) \cong K_0^G(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1).$$

6.4. Equivariant Poincaré series. We suppose now that k has characteristic zero. Let X_∞ be a generically smooth, *stft* formal R -scheme of pure dimension m . Recall that for any integer $d > 0$, $\text{Gal}(K(d)/K) \cong \mu_d$, the group of d -th roots of unity, acts on $R(d)$ and $X_\infty(d)$, see Example 2.1 and Example 2.2. If ω is a gauge form on X_η , we denote by $\omega(d)$ the pullback of ω to the generic fiber $\text{ob } X_\infty(d)$. By construction $\omega(d)$ is a μ_d -closed gauge form.

Recall that the groups μ_d form a projective system with respect to the quotient maps $\mu'_d \rightarrow \mu_d$ which we have whenever d divides d' . We denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the projective limit of the μ_d . By construction μ_d is a quotient of $\hat{\mu}$ for all d . Hence we can view the integral $\int_{X_\infty(d)} |\omega(d)|$ and the motivic Serre invariant $S^{\mu_d}(X_\infty(d))$ as elements in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$ and $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)$, respectively. Here $\hat{\mu}$ acts trivially on X_0 , the special fiber of X_∞ , which is also the special fiber of $X_\infty(d)$.

Definition 6.7. For any integer $d > 0$, we put

$$F(X_\infty, \omega; d) := \int_{X_\infty(d)} |\omega(d)| \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

This defines a function $F(X_\infty, \omega) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$ which we call the *equivariant local singular series* associated to the pair (X_∞, ω) . The *equivariant volume Poincaré series* $S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ of the pair (X_∞, ω) is the generating series

$$S(X_\infty, \omega; T) = \sum_{d>0} F(X_\infty, \omega; d) T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Definition 6.8. The *equivariant Serre Poincaré series* $S(X_\infty; T)$ of X_∞ is the generating series

$$S(X_\infty; T) = \sum_{d>0} S^{\mu_d}(X_\infty(d)) T^d \in K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)[[T]].$$

Remark 6.9. Definition 6.8 does not require that X_η admits a global gauge form, see Theorem-Definition 6.5. If it does, then by Remark 6.6 the series $S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ specializes to the Serre Poincaré series $S(X_\infty; T)$ under the morphism

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}/(\mathbb{L} - 1)[[T]] \cong K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)[[T]].$$

6.5. Computation of the equivariant Poincaré series. The aim of this subsection is to give explicit formulas for the equivariant Poincaré series and the equivariant Poincaré series. We will need these formulas to compare the equivariant Poincaré series with Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function in Section 7. To get the formulas, we will use Section 5.2, in particular the explicit weak Néron model constructed in Theorem 5.10. Note that similar formulas were already proved in [NS07b, Theorem 7.6 and Corollary 7.7] in the non-equivariant case.

We will use the same assumptions and notations as in Section 6.4. Moreover, we fix an *embedded resolution* $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ of X_∞ , i.e. a morphism of flat *sftf* formal R -schemes inducing an isomorphism on the generic fiber, such that X'_∞ is regular and such that the special fiber $X'_0 = \sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. For all $J \subset I$, let $\tilde{E}_J^o$ and $\tilde{E}_i^o$ be defined as in Definition 5.7.

Theorem 6.10. *Let ω be a gauge form on X_η , and let μ_i be the order of ω of any point in E_i . For any integer $d > 0$, we have that*

$$F(X_\infty, \omega; d) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \left(\sum_{\substack{k_i \geq 1, i \in J \\ \sum_{i \in J} k_i N_i = d}} \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_i k_i \mu_i} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

Moreover, the equivariant volume Poincaré series is explicitly given by

$$S(X_\infty, \omega; T) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\mu_i} T^{N_i}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\mu_i} T^{N_i}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Proof. We go along the lines of the proof of the non-equivariant case, [NS07b, Theorem 7.6], and show that it remains valid if we take the $\hat{\mu}$ -action into account.

Assume that d is not X'_0 -linear. Then we can use Theorem 5.10 to get that $\text{Sm}(\widetilde{X'_\infty(d)}) \rightarrow X'_\infty(d)$ is an equivariant Néron smoothing of $X'_\infty(d)$, and hence also of $X_\infty(d)$, and the class of the special fiber of $\text{Sm}(\widetilde{X'_\infty(d)})$ agrees with the sum $\sum_{i \in I, N_i | d} [\tilde{E}_i^o]$ in $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\text{Var}_{X_0})$. By [NS07b, Lemma 6.3] the pullback of ω to

$\mathrm{Sm}(\widetilde{X'_\infty}(d))$ has value $\mu_i d/N_i$ on $\widetilde{E}(d)_i^o$, the pullback of E_i to $\mathrm{Sm}(\widetilde{X'_\infty}(d))$. Moreover, if N_i divides d , then $\widetilde{E}_i^o \cong \widetilde{E}(d)_i^o$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(X_\infty, \omega; d) &= \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{i \in I, N_i | d} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \mathbb{L}^{\mu_i d/N_i} \\
 (7) \quad &= \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [\widetilde{E}_J^o] \left(\sum_{\substack{k_i \geq 1, i \in J \\ \sum_{i \in J} k_i N_i = d}} \mathbb{L}^{-\sum_i k_i \mu_i} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the second equation it was used again that d is not X_0 -linear.

By [NS07a, Lemma 5.17] we can always find a map $X''_\infty \rightarrow X'_\infty$ constructed by a sequence of blowups of strata E_J for some $J \subset I$, such that d is X''_∞ -linear and $X''_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$ is an embedded resolution. As by Lemma 5.11 Formula (6) holds in $K_0^{\mu_{m_{J \cup K}}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})$, and hence also in $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})$ and $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$, we can show with the same computation as in [NS07b, Theorem 7.6] that the right hand side of Equation (7) is invariant under blow-ups of strata E_J . This implies the first part of the theorem. The second part follows from this result with exactly the same computation as in the prove of [NS07b, Corollary 7.7]. $\square$

We also get a similar formula for the equivariant Serre invariant and the equivariant Serre Poincaré series. If X_η admits a global gauge form, this formula follows immediately from Theorem 6.10 using Remark 6.6 and Remark 6.9, respectively. But it holds without assuming the existence of a global gauge form.

Theorem 6.11. *For any integer $d > 0$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 S^{\mu_d}(X_\infty(d)) &= \sum_{i \in I, N_i | d} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \in K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1) \text{ and} \\
 S(X_\infty; T) &= \sum_{i \in I} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \frac{T^{N_i}}{1 - T^{N_i}} \in K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)[[T]].
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume first that d is not X'_0 -linear. As in the proof of Theorem 6.10, we can use Theorem 5.10 and get that

$$S^{\mu_d}(X_\infty(d)) = \sum_{i \in I, N_i | d} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \in K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1).$$

Take a map $X''_\infty \rightarrow X'_\infty$ as above such that d is not X''_0 -linear. It follows from Lemma 5.11 that the classes of $\widetilde{E}_i^o$ in $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ are zero if they are coming from an exceptional divisor E'_i , hence we get rid of the assumption that X'_0 is not d -linear, and the first claim follows. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(X_\infty; T) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} T^d \sum_{i \in I, N_i | d} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] = \sum_{i \in I} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \sum_{d' > 0} T^{d' N_i} \\
 &= \sum_{i \in I} [\widetilde{E}_i^o] \frac{T^{N_i}}{1 - T^{N_i}} \in K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\mathrm{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)[[T]].
 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

By [NS07b, Proposition 2.5], every affine generically smooth stft formal R -scheme admits an embedded resolution. Here one needs that k has characteristic zero. Hence as without group actions, see [NS07b, Corollary 7.8], we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.12. *Let X_∞ be a generically smooth stft formal R -scheme, of pure relative dimension, that admits a global gauge form ω on X_η . Then there exists a*

finite subset S of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ belongs to the ring

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[T] \left[\frac{\mathbb{L}^a T^b}{1 - \mathbb{L}^a T^b} \right]_{(a,b) \in S} \subset \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Hence in particular $S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ is a rational function. Similarly one gets that the equivariant Serre Poincaré series $S(X_\infty; T)$ is a rational function.

7. APPLICATION TO DENEFF AND LOESER'S MOTIVIC ZETA FUNCTIONS

Throughout this section, let X be an irreducible smooth variety of dimension $m+1$ over a field of characteristic zero k containing all roots of unity, together with a dominant map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$. Denote by X_0 the special fiber $f^{-1}(0)$ of f over the point $0 \in \mathbb{A}_k^1$. Denote by X_∞ the formal completion of X along X_0 . This is a generically smooth *sftf* formal scheme of relative dimension m over $R := k[[t]]$. Denote by X_η the generic fiber of X_∞ .

The aim of this section is to recover Denef and Loeser's motivic zeta function of X from a special equivariant Poincaré series of X_∞ , namely from the equivariant motivic Weil generating series. Moreover we define and examine the equivariant motivic volume of a formal R -scheme, from which we can recover the motivic nearby cycles $\mathcal{S}_f$ of f . Before we do so, we recall some definitions and fix notations.

7.1. Jet schemes. As for example in [DL01, 2.1], we define for any integer $d > 0$, the d -th jet scheme $\mathcal{L}_d(X)$ to be the k -scheme representing the functor

$$(k - \text{alg}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets}); A \mapsto X(A[t]/(t^{d+1})) = \text{Hom}_k(\text{Spec}(A[t]/(t^{d+1})), X).$$

Following [DL01, 3.2], we denote by $\mathcal{X}_d$ and $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ the X_0 -varieties

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_d &:= \{\psi \in \mathcal{L}_d(X) \mid \text{ord}_t f(\psi(t)) = d\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{X}_{d,1} &:= \{\psi \in \mathcal{L}_d(X) \mid f(\psi(t)) = t^d \bmod t^{d+1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the structural morphisms to X_0 are given by reduction modulo t . Let μ_d , the group of d -th roots of unity, act on $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ by sending $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ to $\psi(\xi t)$ for any ξ in μ_d . Hence $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ can be viewed as an X_0 -variety with good $\hat{\mu}$ -action, where $\hat{\mu}$ denotes again the projective limit of the μ_d .

Remark 7.1. Take any $d > 0$. As explained in [DL01, 3.2], we can connect $\mathcal{X}_d$ and $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$ as follows: Look at the map $\varphi : \mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_d$ given by sending $(\psi(t), a)$ to $\psi(at)$. Let μ_d act on $\mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ by sending $(\psi(t), a)$ to $(\psi(\xi t), \xi^{-1}a)$ for all $\xi \in \mu_d$. As $\varphi(\psi(t), a) = \psi(at) = \psi(\xi^{-1}a\xi t) = \varphi(\psi(\xi t), \xi^{-1}a)$, φ factors through a map $\tilde{\varphi} : (\mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k})/\mu_d \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_d$, which is in fact an isomorphism. As the action on $\mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{A}_k^1$ extending the action on $\mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}$ is linear over the base $\mathcal{X}_{d,1}$, we get that

$$[\mathcal{X}_{d,1} \times \mathbb{G}_{m,k}] = [\mathcal{X}_{d,1}] \mathbb{L}_{X_0} - [\mathcal{X}_{d,1}] \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\mu_d}.$$

This implies, using that the quotient map on $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\mu_d}$ is well defined by [Har15b, Corollary 8.4], that

$$[\mathcal{X}_d] = (\mathbb{L}_{X_0} - 1)[\mathcal{X}_{d,1}/\mu_d] \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}.$$

7.2. Motivic zeta functions. In [DL01, 3.2.1], the *motivic zeta function* $Z(f; T)$ of f is defined as

$$Z(f; T) := \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{X}_{d,1}] \mathbb{L}^{-(m+1)d} T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]],$$

and the *naive motivic zeta function* $Z^{\text{naive}}(T)$ is defined as

$$Z^{\text{naive}}(f; T) := \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{X}_d] \mathbb{L}^{-(m+1)d} T^d \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]].$$

Remark 7.2. Using Remark 7.1 one can recover the naive motivic zeta function from the motivic zeta function as follows:

$$Z^{\text{naive}}(f; T) = (\mathbb{L} - 1)Z(f; T)/\hat{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]],$$

where $Z(f; T)/\hat{\mu}$ is the image of $Z(f; T)$ under the map $q : \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]]$ sending $\sum a_i T^i$ to $\sum a_i/\hat{\mu} T^i$, where $a_i/\hat{\mu}$ denotes the image of a_i under the quotient map given in [Har15b, Corollary 8.5].

Let $h : X' \rightarrow X$ be an *embedded resolution* for f , i.e. h is a proper morphism inducing an isomorphism $Y \setminus X'_0 \rightarrow X \setminus X_0$, Y is smooth, and $X'_0 = \sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$ is a simple normal crossing divisor. Let $K_{X'/X} = \sum_{i \in I} (\xi_i - 1)E_i$ be the relative canonical divisor of f . By [DL01, Theorem 3.3.1] we have

$$(8) \quad Z(f; T) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\xi_i} T^{N_i}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\xi_i} T^{N_i}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Here $\tilde{E}_J^o$ is given by Definition 5.7, which agrees with the definition by Denef and Loeser, see Remark 5.8. By Remark 7.2 and the fact that $\tilde{E}_J^o/\hat{\mu} = E_J^o$, with E_J^o as in Notation 5.6, Equation (8) implies that

$$Z^{\text{naive}}(f; T) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|J|-1} [E_J^o] \prod_{i \in J} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{-\xi_i} T^{N_i}}{1 - \mathbb{L}^{-\xi_i} T^{N_i}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}[[T]].$$

Inspired by the p -adic case, Denef and Loeser defined the *motivic nearby cycles* $\mathcal{S}_f$ by taking formally the limit of $-Z(f; T)$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$. By Equation (8) this limit is well defined, and

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{S}_f = \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (1 - \mathbb{L})^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

7.3. Recovering the motivic zeta function. Assume for this subsection, that X_η admits a global gauge form ω . As in [NS07b, 9.5], we can associate to it its *Gelfand-Leray form* $\frac{\omega}{df}$.

Definition 7.3. We define the *equivariant motivic Weil generating series associated to f* by $S(f; T) := S(X_\infty, \frac{\omega}{df}; T) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]]$.

Theorem 7.4. Let X be a smooth irreducible variety over k of dimension $m + 1$, and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a dominant morphism. Assume that there exists a global gauge form on X_η . Then

$$S(f; T) = \mathbb{L}^{-m} Z(f; \mathbb{L}T) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}[[T]].$$

Proof. Let $h : X' \rightarrow X$ be an embedded resolution of f . Let $X'_0 = \sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$ be its special fiber and $K_{X'/X} = \sum_{i \in I} (\xi_i - 1)E_i$ its relative canonical divisor. Then by [NS07b, Lemma 9.6]

$$\text{ord}_{E_i} \left(\frac{h^* \omega}{d(f \circ h)} \right) = \xi_i - N_i.$$

With this fact the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 6.10 and Formula (8). $\square$

Using Remark 7.2, we can also recover the naive motivic zeta function from $S(f, T)$.

7.4. Recovering the motivic nearby cycles. Using Theorem 7.4 we can also recover S_f from $S(f, T)$ by taking formally the limit of $-S(f, T)$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$ and multiplying it with $\mathbb{L}^m$. Due to Corollary 6.12, this limit also makes sense without assuming that the formal scheme X_∞ comes from a morphism f , which leads us to the following definition, which was given in [NS07b, Definition 8.3] in the non-equivariant case.

Definition 7.5. Let X_∞ be a *sftf* formal scheme of pure relative dimension m over R with smooth generic fiber X_η , which admits a gauge form ω . We define *equivariant motivic volume* $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}$ to be the formal limit of $-S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Take any embedded resolution of X_∞ with special fiber $\sum_{i \in I} N_i E_i$, and let $\tilde{E}_J^o$ be given as in Definition 5.7. Then Theorem 6.10 implies that $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}$ satisfies the following formula:

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} = \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (1 - \mathbb{L})^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

In particular the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}$ does not depend on ω .

Now take any cover $\{X_\infty^l\}_{l \in L}$ of X_∞ by open formal subschemes. Then by construction $\{X_\infty^l(d)\}_{l \in L}$ is a μ_d -invariant cover of $X_\infty(d)$ for all d . Hence Remark 4.13 implies that

$$F(X_\infty, \omega; d) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subset L} (-1)^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} F(X_\infty^\mathcal{L}, \omega; d) \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}},$$

where $X_\infty^\mathcal{L} := \cap_{l \in \mathcal{L}} X_\infty^l$ for all $\mathcal{L} \subset L$. Hence summing up the $F(X_\infty, \omega; d)$ we get that the analog equation holds also for $-S(X_\infty, \omega; T)$. Taking formally the limit for T against ∞ , we get

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subset L} (-1)^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty^\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

Inspired by this equation, we can, as in the non-equivariant case, see [NS07b, Section 8, Remark], define $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}$ without assuming the existence of a global gauge form on X_η . Here we use that X_η admits a gauge form locally, because X_∞ is generically smooth.

Definition 7.6. Let X_∞ be a *sftf* formal generically smooth R -scheme of dimension m over R . Fix any finite cover $\{X_\infty^l\}_{l \in L}$ of X_∞ by open formal subschemes, such that X_∞^l admits a global gauge form ω_l . For all $\mathcal{L} \subset L$ set $X_\infty^\mathcal{L} := \cap_{l \in \mathcal{L}} X_\infty^l$. We define the *equivariant motivic volume* of X_∞ by

$$\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} := \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subset L} (-1)^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty^\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty^\mathcal{L}}$ is given by Definition 7.5.

Using Equation (11), Definition 7.5 and Definition 7.6 agree in the case that X_η admits a global gauge form. Moreover, if we have two covers $\{X_\infty^l\}_{l \in L}$ and $\{Y_\infty^{l'}\}_{l' \in L'}$ of X_∞ we can compare them via the common refinement $\{X_\infty^l \cap Y_\infty^{l'}\}_{l, l' \in L \times L'}$, so Definition 7.6 does not depend on the chosen cover.

If we use Formula (10) to compute the $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty^\mathcal{L}}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} &= \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathcal{L} \subset L} (-1)^{|\mathcal{L}|-1} \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (1 - \mathbb{L})^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o \times_{X_0} X_\infty^\mathcal{L}] \\ &= \mathbb{L}^{-m} \sum_{\emptyset \neq J \subset I} (1 - \mathbb{L})^{|J|-1} [\tilde{E}_J^o] \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

This formula and Formula (9) imply the following proposition:

Proposition 7.7. *Let X be a smooth, irreducible variety over k of dimension $m+1$, and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a non-constant morphism. Let X_∞ be the formal completion of X along $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{S}_f = \mathbb{L}^m \mathcal{S}_{X_\infty} \in \mathcal{M}_{X_0}^{\hat{\mu}}.$$

As done in [Har15b, Section 9] with $\mathcal{S}_f/\hat{\mu}$, we can now study the quotient $\mathcal{S}_{X_\infty}/\hat{\mu}$. Using Formula (10) we can in particular deduce the following result with the same proof as in [Har15b, Proposition 9.5].

Corollary 7.8. *Let X_∞ be a sftf formal scheme of relative dimension m over R with smooth generic fiber. Then the class of X'_0 modulo $\mathbb{L}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}$ does not depend on the choice of an embedded resolution $h : X'_\infty \rightarrow X_\infty$.*

For a discussion of this result we refer to [Har15b, Section 9].

Finally remark that modulo $\mathbb{L} - 1$ we can recover $\mathcal{S}_f$ also from the equivariant Serre Poincaré series. This follows from Theorem 6.11 and Formula (9). More concrete, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 7.9. *Let X be a smooth, irreducible variety over k , let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ be a dominant morphism, and let X_∞ be the formal completion of X along $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$. Then the limit of $-S(X_\infty; T)\mathbb{L}^m$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$ agrees with $\mathcal{S}_f$ in $K_0^{\hat{\mu}}(\text{Var}_{X_0})/(\mathbb{L} - 1)$.*

REFERENCES

- [Bit05] Bittner, Franziska: *On motivic zeta functions and the motivic nearby fiber*. Math. Z., 249(1):63–83, 2005, ISSN 0025-5874. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-004-0689-1>.
- [BL93] Bosch, Siegfried and Werner Lütkebohmert: *Formal and rigid geometry. I. Rigid spaces*. Math. Ann., 295(2):291–317, 1993, ISSN 0025-5831. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01444889>.
- [BLR90] Bosch, Siegfried, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud: *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, ISBN 3-540-50587-3.
- [BLR95] Bosch, Siegfried, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud: *Formal and rigid geometry. III. The relative maximum principle*. Math. Ann., 302(1):1–29, 1995, ISSN 0025-5831. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01444485>.
- [BS95] Bosch, Siegfried and Klaus Schlöter: *Néron models in the setting of formal and rigid geometry*. Math. Ann., 301(2):339–362, 1995, ISSN 0025-5831. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01446633>.
- [CLNS16] Chambert-Loir, Antoine, Johannes Nicaise, and Julien Sebag: *Motivic Integration*. To appear in 2016.
- [Con99] Conrad, Brian: *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 49(2):473–541, 1999, ISSN 0373-0956. http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1999_49_2_473_0.
- [DL98] Denef, Jan and François Loeser: *Motivic Igusa zeta functions*. J. Algebraic Geom., 7(3):505–537, 1998, ISSN 1056-3911.
- [DL01] Denef, Jan and François Loeser: *Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties*. In *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, volume 201 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 327–348. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Eis95] Eisenbud, David: *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, ISBN 0-387-94268-8; 0-387-94269-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5350-1>, With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [EN11] Esnault, Hélène and Johannes Nicaise: *Finite group actions, rational fixed points and weak Néron models*. Pure Appl. Math. Q., 7(4, Special Issue: In memory of Eckart Viehweg):1209–1240, 2011, ISSN 1558-8599.
- [Gre61] Greenberg, Marvin J.: *Schemata over local rings*. Ann. of Math. (2), 73:624–648, 1961, ISSN 0003-486X.

- [Gro61] Grothendieck, A.: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. II. Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (8):222, 1961, ISSN 0073-8301.
- [Gro63] Grothendieck, Alexander: *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental. Fasc. I: Exposés 1 à 5*, volume 1960/61 of *Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique*. Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Paris, 1963.
- [Gro66] Grothendieck, A.: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (28):255, 1966, ISSN 0073-8301.
- [Har15a] Hartmann, Annabelle: *On rational points of varieties over local fields having a model with tame quotient singularities*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367(11):8199–8227, 2015, ISSN 0002-9947. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2015-06291-6>.
- [Har15b] Hartmann, Annabelle: *The quotient map on the equivariant Grothendieck ring of varieties*. arXiv:1408.0191v2, 2015.
- [HN11] Halle, Lars Halvard and Johannes Nicaise: *Motivic zeta functions of abelian varieties, and the monodromy conjecture*. Adv. Math., 227(1):610–653, 2011, ISSN 0001-8708. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.02.011>.
- [Liu02] Liu, Qing: *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002, ISBN 0-19-850284-2. Translated from the French by Reinie Ern , Oxford Science Publications.
- [LS03] Loeser, Fran ois and Julien Sebag: *Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations*. Duke Math. J., 119(2):315–344, 2003, ISSN 0012-7094. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-03-11924-9>.
- [Nic09] Nicaise, Johannes: *A trace formula for rigid varieties, and motivic Weil generating series for formal schemes*. Math. Ann., 343(2):285–349, 2009, ISSN 0025-5831. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00208-008-0273-9>.
- [Nic10] Nicaise, Johannes: *An introduction to p -adic and motivic zeta functions and the monodromy conjecture*. In *Algebraic and analytic aspects of zeta functions and L -functions*, volume 21 of *MSJ Mem.*, pages 141–166. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [NS07a] Nicaise, Johannes and Julien Sebag: *Motivic Serre invariants of curves*. Manuscripta Math., 123(2):105–132, 2007, ISSN 0025-2611. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00229-007-0088-0>.
- [NS07b] Nicaise, Johannes and Julien Sebag: *Motivic Serre invariants, ramification, and the analytic Milnor fiber*. Invent. Math., 168(1):133–173, 2007, ISSN 0020-9910. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-006-0029-7>.
- [NS08] Nicaise, Johannes and Julien Sebag: *Motivic Serre invariants and Weil restriction*. J. Algebra, 319(4):1585–1610, 2008, ISSN 0021-8693. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.11.006>.
- [NS11a] Nicaise, Johannes and Julien Sebag: *Motivic invariants of rigid varieties, and applications to complex singularities*. In *Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-Archimedean geometry. Volume I*, volume 383 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 244–304. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [NS11b] Nicaise, Johannes and Julien Sebag: *The Grothendieck ring of varieties*. In *Motivic integration and its interactions with model theory and non-Archimedean geometry. Volume I*, volume 383 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 145–188. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [Seb04] Sebag, Julien: *Int gration motivique sur les sch mas formels*. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 132(1):1–54, 2004, ISSN 0037-9484.